arXiv:1210.2542v1 [math.NT] 9 Oct 2012

Borcherds Products on Unitary Groups

Eric Hofmann*

October 10, 2012

Abstract

In the present paper, we provide a construction of the multiplicative Borcherds lift for unitary groups $\mathrm{U}(1,m)$, which takes weakly holomorphic elliptic modular forms as input functions and lifts them to automorphic forms having infinite product expansions and taking their zeros and poles along Heegner divisors. In order to transfer Borcherds' theory to unitary groups, we construct a suitable embedding of $\mathrm{U}(1,m)$ into $\mathrm{O}(2,2m)$. We also derive a formula for the values taken by the Borcherds products at cusps of the symmetric domain of the unitary group. Further, as an application of the lifting, we obtain a modularity result for a generating series with Heegner divisors as coefficients, along the lines of Borcherds' generalization of the Gross-Zagier-Kohnen theorem.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11F27, 11F55, 11G18, 14G35.
Key words and phrases: Borcherds product, unitary modular form, Heegner divisor, unitary modular variety

1 Introduction and statement of results

In [2], [3], Borcherds constructs a multiplicative lifting taking weakly holomorphic modular forms to automorphic forms on orthogonal groups of signature $(2,b)$. These automorphic forms have infinite product expansions as so called Borcherds products and take their zeros and poles along certain arithmetic divisors, known as Heegner divisors. In the present paper, we provide a construction of this lifting for unitary groups of signature $(1,m)$.

A weakly holomorphic modular form for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is a function f on the complex upper half-plane $\mathbb{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C}\,;\ \Im\tau > 0\}$, which transforms as an elliptic modular form, is

---

[*] Eric Hofmann. Mathematisches Institut Universität Heidelberg,
Im Neuenheimer Feld 288, 69120 Heidelberg,
Email: hofmann@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de, `www.mathi.uni-heidelberg.de/~hofmann`
Tel. +49 6221 54 5686, Fax. +49 6221 54 8312

holomorphic on $\mathbb{H}$ and is allowed to have poles at the cusps. Thus, f has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{\substack{n\in\mathbb{Z}\\ n\gg-\infty}} c(n)e(n\tau),$$

where, as usual $e(n\tau) = \exp(2\pi i n\tau)$. The principal part of f is given by the Fourier polynomial $\sum_{n<0} c(n)e(n\tau)$.

Let d be a square-free integer, with $d < 0$. Denote by $\mathbb{F}$ the imaginary quadratic number field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ the ring of integers in $\mathbb{F}$. The inverse different ideal, denoted $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}}^{-1}$, is the $\mathbb{Z}$-dual of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ with respect to the trace $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}$. Let $D_{\mathbb{F}}$ be the discriminant of $\mathbb{F}$. We denote by δ the square-root of $D_{\mathbb{F}}$, with the principal branch of the complex square-root. Then, as a fractional ideal, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}}^{-1}$ is given by $\delta^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Let $V = V_{\mathbb{F}}$ be an $\mathbb{F}$-vector space of dimension $1+m$, equipped with a non-degenerate hermitian form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ of signature $(1,m)$. Note that $q(x) = \langle x, x\rangle$ is a rational-valued quadratic form on $V_{\mathbb{F}}$. Denote by $V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C})$, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ the complex hermitian space $V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C}) = V_{\mathbb{F}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{C}$ with $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ extended to a $\mathbb{C}$-valued hermitian form.

We denote by L an even hermitian lattice in $V_{\mathbb{F}}$, i.e. an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$-submodule with $L\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}}\mathbb{F} = V_{\mathbb{F}}$ and with $\langle\lambda,\lambda\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\lambda \in L$. For the purposes of this introduction, assume L to be unimodular. Further, we assume that L contains a primitive isotropic vector ℓ and a second isotropic vector ℓ', with $\langle\ell,\ell'\rangle \neq 0$. Additionally, in the present introduction we assume $\langle\ell,\ell'\rangle = -\delta^{-1}$.

Denote by $\mathrm{U}(V)$ the unitary group of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and $\mathrm{U}(V)(\mathbb{R})$ the set of its real points, thus $\mathrm{U}(V)(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathrm{U}(1,m)$. We denote by $\mathrm{U}(L)$ the arithmetic subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(V)$ which acts as the isometry group of L. We consider $\Gamma = \mathrm{U}(L)$ as the unitary modular group.

The hermitian symmetric domain for the action of $\mathrm{U}(V)(\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic to the positive projective cone

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}} = \left\{[v] \in \mathbb{P}^1(V_{\mathbb{F}})(\mathbb{C})\,;\ \langle v, v\rangle > 0\right\}.$$

For each $[v] \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$, there is unique representative of the form $z = \ell' - \tau\ell + \sigma$, with σ negative definite. Now, the symmetric domain can be realized as an unbounded domain, called the Siegel domain model:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} = \left\{(\tau,\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}\times\mathbb{C}^m\,;\ 2\Im\tau|\delta|^{-2} > -\langle\sigma,\sigma\rangle\right\}.$$

The action of $\mathrm{U}(V)(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ induces a non-trivial factor of automorphy, $j(\gamma;\tau,\sigma)$.

Let k be an integer, Γ a subgroup of finite index in $\mathrm{U}(L)$ and χ a multiplier system of finite order on Γ. A unitary automorphic form of weight k on Γ with multiplier system χ is a meromorphic function $f : \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \to \mathbb{C}$ which satisfies

$$f(\gamma(\tau,\sigma)) = j(\gamma;\tau,\sigma)^k\chi(\gamma)f(\tau,\sigma) \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

An automorphic form is called a modular form if it is holomorphic on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ and regular at the cusps of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$.

Let $\lambda \in L$ be a lattice vector with negative norm, i.e. $\langle\lambda,\lambda\rangle < 0$. The complement of λ with respect to $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ defines a codimension one subset of the projective cone $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$, denoted $\mathbf{H}(\lambda)$. The corresponding subset of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is the support of a primitive divisor, a *prime Heegner divisor*, which we also denote $\mathbf{H}(\lambda)$.

For a negative integer n, the Heegner divisor $\mathbf{H}(n)$ of index n on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is the Γ-invariant divisor defined as the locally finite sum

$$\mathbf{H}(n) := \sum_{\substack{\lambda\in L\\ \langle\lambda,\lambda\rangle = n}} \mathbf{H}(\lambda).$$

Write $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ in the form $\mathbb{Z}+\zeta\mathbb{Z}$. Then, for L a unimodular lattice containing a primitive isotropic vector ℓ and a second isotropic vector ℓ', with $\langle \ell, \ell'\rangle = -\delta^{-1}$, our main result can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Given a weakly holomorphic modular form f for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of weight $1-m$, with a Fourier expansion of the form $\sum_{n\gg-\infty} c(n)\, e(n\tau)$. Assume that f has integer coefficients in its principal part. Then, there is a meromorphic function $\Xi_f(\tau,\sigma)$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ with the following properties*

1. *$\Xi_f(\tau,\sigma)$ is an automorphic form of weight $c(0)/2$ for $\mathrm{U}(L)$.*
2. *The zeros and poles of Ξ_f lie on Heegner divisors. We have*

$$\operatorname{div}(\Xi_f) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{n<0\\ c(n)\neq 0}} c(n)\,\mathbf{H}(n),$$

with the Heegner divisors $\mathbf{H}(n)$ as introduced above.

3. *Near the cusp corresponding to ℓ, the function $\Xi_f(\tau,\sigma)$ has an absolutely converging infinite product expansion, for every Weyl chamber W, of the form*

$$\Xi_f(\tau,\sigma) = C e\left(\delta\langle z, \rho_f(W)\rangle\right) \prod_{\substack{\lambda\in K\\ (\lambda,W)>0}} \Big(1 - e\left(\delta\langle z,\lambda\rangle\right)\Big),$$

where, as above, $z = \ell' - \tau\ell + \sigma$, C is a constant of absolute value 1 and $\rho_f(W)$ is the Weyl vector attached to W; K denotes a $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule of L, of rank $2m$. Here, K can be written in the form $K = \mathbb{Z}\zeta\ell \oplus \mathbb{Z}\ell' \oplus D$, with D negative definite. The positivity condition $(\lambda, W) > 0$ depends on the Weyl chamber.

4. *The lifting is multiplicative. We have $\Xi_{f+g}(\tau,\sigma) = \Xi_f(\tau,\sigma)\cdot\Xi_g(\tau,\sigma)$.*

The Weyl chambers occurring here are connected components of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ defined by inequalities depending on the principal part of the input function f, see section 7 below.

The boundary components of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ consist of isolated points. The boundary point associated to ℓ corresponds to the cusp at infinity of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$. Since the lift Ξ_f is meromorphic, a priori, it may have a pole at this cusp. However, under the assumption that Ξ_f is regular there, we have the following result:

Theorem 1.2. *Let W be a fixed Weyl chamber containing a neighborhood of infinity. Assume that $\Xi_f(\tau,\sigma)$ is regular at the cusp of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ corresponding to ℓ, then either the limit $\lim_{\tau\to i\infty}\Xi_f(\tau,\sigma)$ vanishes or*

$$\lim_{\tau\to i\infty}\Xi_f(\tau,\sigma) = Ce\big(\overline{\rho_f(W)_\ell}\big)\prod_{\substack{\lambda=\kappa\zeta\ell\\ \kappa\in\mathbb{Q}_{>0}}}\big(1-e(\kappa\bar{\zeta})\big)^{c(0,\lambda)},$$

where $\rho_f(W)_\ell$ denotes the ℓ-component of the Weyl vector $\rho_f(W)$.

In [4] Borcherds obtained a result on the modularity of Heegner divisors , which, using Serre duality for vector bundles over Riemann surfaces, can be seen as a consequence of the existence and the properties of the Borcherds lift.

We extend a result of this type to Heegner divisors on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$. Let $X_\Gamma = \Gamma\backslash\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ be the unitary modular variety and $\pi : \tilde{X_\Gamma} \to X_\Gamma$ a desingularization. Denote by $\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})$ the first Chow group of $\tilde{X_\Gamma}$. Recall that $\mathrm{Pic}(\tilde{X_\Gamma}) \simeq \mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})$. We consider a modified Chow group $\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ denotes the subgroup of boundary divisors of $\tilde{X_\Gamma}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_k$ be the sheaf of meromorphic automorphic forms on X_Γ. By the theory of Baily Borel, there is a positive integer $n(\Gamma)$, such that for every positive integer k divisible by $n(\Gamma)$, the pullback of $\mathcal{L}_k$ defines an arithmetic line bundle in $\mathrm{Pic}(\tilde{X_\Gamma})$. We denote its class in the modified Chow group by $c_1(\mathcal{L}_K)$. More generally, for $k\in\mathbb{Q}$, we define a class in $(\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B})_{\mathbb{Q}} = (\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B})\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}$ by choosing an integer n, such that kn is a positive integer divisible by $n(\Gamma)$, and setting $c_1(\mathcal{L}_k) = \frac{1}{n}c_1(\mathcal{L}_{kn})$. As the Heegner divisors are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier on X_Γ, their pullbacks define elements in $(\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

For a unimodular lattice L, our modularity result can now be formulated as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *The generating series*

$$A(\tau) = c_1(\mathcal{L}_{-1/2}) + \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\pi^*(\mathbf{H}(n))\,q^n \;\in \mathbb{Q}[[q]]\otimes(\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B})_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

is a modular form valued in $(\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. More precisely, $A(\tau)$ is an element of $\mathcal{M}_{1+m}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))\otimes(\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{Q}})$.

We derive a more general version of this theorem, where L is not assumed to be unimodular and Γ is an arbitrary unitary modular group in section 10. Recently, a similar result has been independently obtained by Liu, in [14], using a completely different approach.

The paper is structured as follows: In sections 2 and 3 we describe the basic setup and introduce notation used in following chapters. Section 2 covers the basic theory for unitary groups, in particular the theory of hermitian lattices, the construction of the Siegel domain model and the definition of modular forms. In section 3 we recall the theory of modular forms on orthogonal groups of signature $(2,2m)$ and in particular the construction of the tube domain model $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ for the symmetric domain. Most of the content of this section is well-known, more detailed accounts can be found in [7] or [3].

Section 4 is in a sense the technical heart of the paper. The $(m+1)$-dimensional hermitian $\mathbb{F}$-vector space $V_{\mathbb{F}}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is identified with the underlying rational $(2m+2)$-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space, denoted $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and equipped with the bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot) := \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. From this, we get an embedding of $\mathrm{U}(V)$ into $\mathrm{SO}(V)$. This, in turn, induces an embedding of the corresponding symmetric domains. Our objective is to realize this as an embedding of the Siegel domain model $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ into the tube domain model $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$, which is compatible with the complex structures of both $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ and with the geometric structure resulting from the choice of cusps.

The following sections cover some of the prerequisites for the Borcherds lift. In section 5 we recall the Weil representation ρ_L of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[L'/L]$ and the definition of weakly holomorphic vector valued modular forms transforming under ρ_L. In section 6, we introduce the Heegner divisors on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ and show how these can also be described through the restriction to the embedded $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ of Heegner divisors on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$, which occur in Borcherds' theory for orthogonal groups. Finally, section 7 covers the definition of Weyl chambers of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ which is derived from that of Weyl chambers of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$.

Our main theorem is presented in section 8, the proof given here is based on Borcherds' seminal paper [3]. We apply the machinery of the pullback under the embedding from section 4 to the proof of Borcherds' theorem 13.3 from [3].

In section 9 we take the Borcherds products constructed in the preceding section and evaluate their limit on the boundary of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$. We obtain a more general form of theorem 1.2 above.

In the last section, section 10, we derive the modularity statement for Heegner divisors sketched as 1.3. We start with a slight reformulation of a result of Borcherds' on obstructions, see [3]. The modularity result then quickly follows from our main theorem.

2 Hermitian spaces and modular forms for unitary groups

The number field $\mathbb{F}$ Denote by d a square-free negative integer. Consider the imaginary quadratic number field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Denote by $D_{\mathbb{F}}$ the discriminant of $\mathbb{F}$, and by δ its square root, where the square root is understood as the principal branch of the complex square root. We have

$$D_{\mathbb{F}} = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \mod 4, \\ 4d & \text{if } d \equiv 2,3 \mod 4. \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ be the ring of integers of $\mathbb{F}$ and write $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{Z} + \zeta\mathbb{Z}$, with

$$\zeta = \begin{cases} \delta/2 & \text{if } D_F \text{ is even}, \\ \dfrac{1}{2}(1+\delta) & \text{if } D_F \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

The *inverse different* ideal $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}}^{-1}$ (also called *complementary ideal*) is the $\mathbb{Z}$-dual of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ with respect to the trace $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}$. As a fractional ideal, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}}^{-1} = \delta^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

A hermitian space Let $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ be a vector-space of dimension $1+m$ over $\mathbb{F}$, equipped with a non-degenerate hermitian form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, indefinite of signature $(1,m)$, with $m \geq 1$. We define $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ to be linear in the first and conjugate-linear in the second argument,

$$\langle \alpha x, \beta y\rangle = \alpha\bar{\beta}\langle x, y\rangle, \qquad \text{for all} \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}.$$

By $V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C})$ we denote the complex hermitian space $V_{\mathbb{F}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{C}$, with $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ extended to a complex hermitian form.

Note that with the non-degenerate, symmetric bilinear form is defined as $(\cdot,\cdot) := \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ becomes a quadratic space over $\mathbb{Q}$. The corresponding real quadratic space is denoted $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$, $(\cdot,\cdot)$, where the extension of $(\cdot,\cdot)$ to a bilinear form over $\mathbb{R}$ is equal to $2\Re\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$.

Hermitian lattices By a *hermitian lattice* in $V_{\mathbb{F}}$, we mean an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$-submodule L of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ with $L \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}} \mathbb{F} = V_{\mathbb{F}}$, equipped with the hermitian form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\,|_L$.

A lattice L is called integral, if $\langle\lambda,\mu\rangle \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}}^{-1}$ for any $\lambda, \mu \in L$. Further, L is called even, if $\langle\lambda,\lambda\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$, for any $\lambda \in L$.

The dual lattice L' is defined as

$$L' = \{v \in V\,;\, \langle\lambda, v\rangle \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}}^{-1} \quad \text{for all} \quad \lambda \in L\}.$$

If L is an integral lattice, then $L \subset L'$. A lattice is called unimodular, if it is self-dual.

Let L be an integral hermitian lattice. The quotient L'/L is a finite $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$-module, called the *discriminant group* of L.

Remark. *If $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ is considered as a rational quadratic space with the bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)$, a lattice L is a quadratic $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule of maximal rank. If a hermitian lattice L is integral or even by the above definition, it is also by the usual definition for quadratic lattices as $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. Also, the dual of L with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is also given by L'.*

Now, assume that $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ contains an isotropic vector. (This assumption is trivial if $m > 2$.) Then, if L is an (even) hermitian lattice in V, we may choose a primitive isotropic vector ℓ in L and there exists a lattice vector $\ell' \in L'$ with $\langle\ell,\ell'\rangle \neq 0$.

Assumption 2.1. *In the following, whenever dealing with a hermitian lattice L, we will assume the following*

1. *L is even.*
2. *There is a primitive isotropic vector $\ell \in L$.*
3. *The dual lattice contains a vector ℓ' with $\langle\ell,\ell'\rangle \neq 0$ and which is also isotropic.*

Example 2.1. *Consider the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$-module $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}}^{-1}$, generated by 1 and δ^{-1}. This is a lattice in $V_{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{F}^2$. With the indefinite hermitian form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ given by*

$$\langle (x,y), (x',y')\rangle = x\bar{y}' + y\bar{x}',$$

the lattice H has signature $(1,1)$, is even and unimodular. A hermitian lattice isomorphic to H is called a hyperbolic plane over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$. *Here, we may choose $\ell = (1,0) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and ℓ' any element of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}}^{-1}$.*

Finally, for the hermitian lattice L, with ℓ and ℓ' as above, we denote by D the complement of ℓ and ℓ' with respect to $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ in L,

$$D = \{\kappa \in L\,;\, \langle\kappa,\ell\rangle = \langle\kappa,\ell'\rangle = 0\}\,.$$

Unitary groups The *unitary group* of $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ preserving the hermitian form,

$$\mathrm{U}(V) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(V)\,;\, \langle gx, gy\rangle = \langle x,y\rangle \quad \text{for all} \quad x,y \in V_{\mathbb{F}}\}\,,$$

We consider $\mathrm{U}(V)$ as an algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. The set of its real points, $\mathrm{U}(V)(\mathbb{R})$, is the unitary group of $V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let L be a hermitian lattice in $V_{\mathbb{F}}$. The isometries of L define an arithmetic subgroup, consisting of the integer points of $\mathrm{U}(V)$,

$$\mathrm{U}(L) = \{g \in \mathrm{U}(V);\ g(L) = L\}\,.$$

We shall consider the subgroups of finite index in $\mathrm{U}(L)$ as *unitary modular groups.* In particular, the *discriminant kernel* is the subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(L)$ acting trivially on the discriminant group, denoted by Γ_L^{U}.

The Siegel domain model A standard symmetric domain for the operation of $\mathrm{U}(V)(\mathbb{R})$ is given by the quotient

$$\mathrm{U}(V)(\mathbb{R})/\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U}} \simeq \mathrm{U}(1,m)/\left(\mathrm{U}(1)\times\mathrm{U}(m)\right).$$

with $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U}}$ a maximal compact subgroup. It can also be described as the following positive cone in the projective space $\mathbb{P}^1(V_{\mathbb{F}})(\mathbb{C})$,

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}} = \left\{[v] \in \mathbb{P}^1(V_{\mathbb{F}})(\mathbb{C})\,;\, \langle v,v\rangle > 0,\ \text{for all}\ v \in [v]\right\}.$$

We can also realize $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$ as an unbounded domain, denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$. To this aim, for each $[v] \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$, we choose a representative z of the following form,

$$z = \ell' - \delta\langle\ell',\ell\rangle\tau\ell + \sigma, \tag{2}$$

with σ in the complement $D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}} \mathbb{C}$ of ℓ and ℓ'. Then by the positivity condition,

$$\langle z,z\rangle = 2|\delta||\langle\ell',\ell\rangle|^2\Im\tau + \langle\sigma,\sigma\rangle > 0.$$

The set of (τ,σ) satisfying this equation, is thus an affine model for $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} = \left\{(\tau,\sigma) \in \mathbb{C} \times \left(D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}} \mathbb{C}\right)\,;\, 2|\langle\ell',\ell\rangle|^2\Im\tau > -\langle\sigma,\sigma\rangle\right\}.$$

We call $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ the *Siegel domain model.* To each pair $(\tau,\sigma) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$, we can uniquely associate a $z \in V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C})$ with $[z] \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$ of the above form, which we denote as $z(\tau,\sigma)$. Note that the operation of $\mathrm{U}(V)(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ gives rise to a non-trivial automorphy factor.

Parabolic subgroups and the stabilizer of a cusp The choice of the isotropic vector ℓ corresponds to choosing a boundary point $[\ell] \in \mathbb{P}^1(V_{\mathbb{F}})(\mathbb{C})$ of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$. This is the cusp at infinity of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$.

Denote by $P(\ell)$ the stabilizer in $\mathrm{U}(V)$ of the one-dimensional isotropic subspace $\mathbb{F}\ell$ in $V_{\mathbb{F}}$. We consider the following transformations contained in $P(\ell)$:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{(i)} & [h,0] : v & \mapsto & v - \langle v, \ell\rangle \delta h \ell & \text{for } h \in \mathbb{Q}, \\ \text{(ii)} & [0,t] : \; v & \mapsto & v + \langle v, \ell\rangle t - \langle v, t\rangle \ell - \dfrac{1}{2}\langle v, \ell\rangle \langle t, t\rangle \ell & \text{for } t \in D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}} \mathbb{F}. \end{array}$$

Transformations of the form (ii) are called Eichler transformations. The action of these transformations on a point (τ, σ) of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is given by

$$[h,0] : (\tau,\sigma) \mapsto (\tau + h, \sigma), \quad [0,t] : (\tau,\sigma) \mapsto \left(\tau + \frac{\langle \sigma, t\rangle}{\delta \langle \ell', \ell\rangle} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\langle t, t\rangle}{\delta}, \sigma + \langle \ell', \ell\rangle t \right).$$

The set of pairs $[h,t]$ is the *Heisenberg group* attached to ℓ, denoted $H(\ell)$. Its group law is given by

$$[h,t] \circ [h',t] = \big[h + h' + \frac{\Im \langle t, t'\rangle}{2|\delta|}, t + t' \big].$$

The full stabilizer of the cusp attached to ℓ is the following semi-direct product

$$P(\ell) = H(\ell) \ltimes \mathrm{U}\big(D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}} \mathbb{F}\big),$$

with as direct factor the unitary group of the definite subspace $D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}} \mathbb{F}$, considered as a subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(V)$.

Proposition 2.1. *If* Γ *is a subgroup of finite index in* $\mathrm{U}(L)$, *then there is a positive integer* N *and a lattice* $\widetilde{D}$ *of finite index in* D, *such that* $[h,t] \in \Gamma \cap P(\ell)$, *for all* $h \in N\mathbb{Z}$, $t \in \widetilde{D}$. *Further,* $\frac{1}{2}\Im\langle t, t'\rangle \delta^{-1} \in N\mathbb{Z}$, *for all* $t, t' \in \widetilde{D}$.

Compactification Let Γ be a unitary modular group and $\Gamma(\ell) = \Gamma \cap P(\ell)$.

The modular variety X_Γ is given by the quotient $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$. In order to compactify X_Γ we introduce suitable neighborhoods of the cusps. Modulo $\mathrm{U}(V)$-translates, it suffices to describe this for the cusp at infinity in the affine model:

Definition 2.1. *For a real number* $C > 0$, *define the following* $\Gamma(\ell)$*-invariant sets*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}^C &= \big\{ (\tau,\sigma);\ 2|\delta| |\langle \ell', \ell\rangle|^2 \, \Im(\tau) + \langle \sigma, \sigma\rangle > C \big\} \subset \mathbb{C} \times (D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}} \mathbb{C}), \\ \textit{and} \quad \overline{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}^C} &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}^C \cup \{\infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

A topology on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \cup \{\infty\}$ can now be defined as follows. A subset $U \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \cup \{\infty\}$ is called *open*, if, firstly, $U \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is open in the usual sense and, secondly, if $\infty \in U$ implies that $\overline{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}^C} \subseteq U$ for some $C > 0$.

From this definition, it is clear that the action of $\Gamma(\ell)$ extends continuously to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \cup \{\infty\}$. Further, with the quotient topology, the quotient space

$$\Gamma(\ell)\backslash\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \cup \{\infty\}\right) = \left(\Gamma(\ell)\backslash\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}\right) \cup \{\infty\},$$

is locally compact. A family of compact neighborhoods of infinity is given by the sets

$$\Gamma(\ell)\backslash\overline{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}^{C}}, \quad \text{for} \quad C \in \mathbb{R},\, C > 0.$$

To see that $\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \cup \{\infty\}\big)$ is a complex space, proceed as follows.

Denote by $X_\Gamma^* = \Gamma(\ell)\backslash\big(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \cup \{\infty\}\big)$. We define the complex structure on X_Γ^* as follows. For an open set $V \subset X_\Gamma^*$, let $U \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \cup \{\infty\}$ be the inverse image of V under the canonical projection $\mathrm{pr} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \cup \{\infty\} \to X_\Gamma^*$. Further we let U' be the inverse image of U in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$. We have the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \arrow[r] & \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \cup \{\infty\} \arrow[r, "\mathrm{pr}"] & X_\Gamma^* \\
U' \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[r] & U \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[r] & V \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd}.$$

Now, define $\mathcal{O}(V)$ to be the ring of continuous functions $f : V \to \mathbb{C}$, such that the pullback $\mathrm{pr}^*(f)$ is holomorphic on U'. By the usual methods of algebraic geometry, this defines a sheaf of rings $\mathcal{O}$ on X_Γ^*, and the pair $\big(X_\Gamma^*, \mathcal{O}\big)$ is a locally ringed space. By the theory of Baily Borel [1], the following statement holds:

Proposition 2.2. *The space $\big(X_\Gamma^*, \mathcal{O}\big)$ is a normal complex space.*

Note that X_Γ^* still has singularities at the cusps and at elliptic fixed points of Γ. For torsion-free modular groups, X_Γ^* has singularities only at the cusp, the existence of such modular groups is assured by a result of Borel [6]. The singularities at the cusps can be resolved, this carried out in the author's thesis [12]. Also cf. [11], [13] and [9].

Modular forms Denote by $j(\gamma; \tau, \sigma)$ the automorphy factor induced by the action of $\mathrm{U}(V)(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$.

Definition 2.2. *Let Γ be unitary modular group, i.e. of finite index in $\mathrm{U}(L)$. A holomorphic automorphic form of weight k and with character χ for Γ is a function $f : \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \to \mathbb{C}$, with the following properties:*

1. *f is holomorphic on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$,*
2. *$f(\gamma(\tau, \sigma)) = j(\gamma; \tau, \sigma)^k \chi(\gamma) f(\tau, \sigma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

If further, f is regular at every cusp, it is called a modular form.

Meromorphic automorphic forms are defined similarly. By the Koecher principle, if $m > 1$, any holomorphic automorphic form is a modular form.

Since by proposition 2.1 translations of the form $\tau \to \tau + \nu$, with $\nu \in N\mathbb{Z}$ are induced from elements of the parabolic subgroup $P(\ell) \cap \Gamma$, an automorphic form admits a Fourier-Jacobi expansion

$$f(\tau, \sigma) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}+r} a_n(\sigma) e\left(\frac{n}{N}\tau\right), \tag{3}$$

with N as in proposition 2.1 and r a constant, $r \in \mathbb{Q}$, $r \geq 0$. Regularity at the cusp attached to ℓ means that the coefficients $a_n(\sigma)$ vanish for $n < 0$. The coefficient $a_0(\sigma)$ is constant, thus denoted a_0. If r is not in $\mathbb{Z}$, $a_0 = 0$. For $n \neq 0$, the coefficient functions $a_n(\sigma)$ transform as theta-functions under the Eichler transformations in Γ.

The value of f at infinity is given by

$$\lim_{\tau \to i\infty} f(\tau, \sigma) = a_0.$$

It depends only on the Γ-equivalence class of ℓ and, in particular, does not depend on the choice of ℓ', cf. [10].

3 Quadratic spaces and modular forms for orthogonal groups

$V_{\mathbb{F}}$ as a rational quadratic space In the following, we consider $V_{\mathbb{F}}$ as a quadratic space $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ of signature $(2, 2m)$, with the non-degenerate symmetric $\mathbb{Q}$-valued bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and the quadratic form $q(\cdot)$, given by $q(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x, x)$. Then $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$ is the corresponding real quadratic space with the bilinear and quadratic forms obtained from $(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $q(\cdot)$ by extension of scalars.

By a quadratic lattice M, we mean a $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$, with $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} = V_{\mathbb{Q}}$, endowed with a quadratic form. In particular, if L is a hermitian lattice as in section 2, as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, L is a quadratic lattice in $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $(\cdot,\cdot)$ with quadratic form $q(\cdot) \mid_L$, and D is a sub-lattice of L both as a hermitian lattice over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and as a quadratic lattice over $\mathbb{Z}$.

The orthogonal group of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{O}(V) &= \{\gamma \in \mathrm{GL}(V)\,;\, (\gamma v, \gamma w) = (v, w) \text{ for all } \quad v, w \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}\}, \\ \mathrm{SO}(V) &= \mathrm{O}(V) \cap \mathrm{SL}(V). \end{aligned}$$

The groups of real points of these groups are denoted $\mathrm{O}(V)(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{R})$, respectively. By $\mathrm{SO}^+(V)$, we denote the *spinor kernel*, the kernel of the map θ in the following exact sequence,

$$\begin{tikzcd} 1 \arrow[r] & \{\pm 1\} \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Spin}(V)(\mathbb{R}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{R}) \arrow[r, "\theta"] & \mathbb{R}^\times/(\mathbb{R}^\times)^2. \end{tikzcd}$$

The spinor kernel is the connected component of the identity in $\mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{R})$, and consists of the orientation preserving transformations.

The tube domain model For the following, cf. [3] and [7]. A symmetric domain for the action of $\mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{R})$ on $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$ is given by

$$\mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{R})/\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{SO}} \simeq \mathrm{SO}(2,2m)/\mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{O}(2)\times \mathrm{O}(2m)\right).$$

As a real analytic manifold, this is isomorphic to the Grassmannian Gr_{O} of 2-dimensional positive definite subspaces of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$. In order to introduce a complex structure on Gr_{O}, a continuously varying choice of oriented basis is carried out for each $v \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{O}}$: Write v as

$$v = \mathbb{R}X_L + \mathbb{R}Y_L, \quad \text{with} \quad X_L \perp Y_L,\ X_L^2 = Y_L^2 > 0, \tag{4}$$

then X_L and Y_L can be considered as the real and the imaginary part of a complex vector Z_L, as follows. Consider the complex quadratic space $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C}) = V_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$ with $(\cdot,\cdot)$ extended to a $\mathbb{C}$-valued bilinear form of signature $(2,2m)$. Then,

$$Z_L = X_L + iY_L, \quad \text{satisfies} \quad (Z_L, Z_L) = 0,\ \left(Z_L, \overline{Z}_L\right) > 0.$$

The set of such Z_L defines a subset of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^1(V_{\mathbb{Q}})(\mathbb{C})$, the positive cone $\mathcal{K}$ in the zero-quadric $\mathcal{N}$, given by

$$\mathcal{K} = \{[Z_L]\,;\, \left(Z_L, \overline{Z}_L\right) > 0\} \subset \mathcal{N} = \{[Z_L]\,;\, (Z_L, Z_L) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1(V_{\mathbb{Q}})(\mathbb{C}).$$

The positive cone $\mathcal{K}$ has two connected components, which are interchanged by the action of $\mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{R})/\mathrm{SO}^+(V)(\mathbb{R})$ and stabilized by $\mathrm{SO}^+(V)(\mathbb{R})$. We choose a fixed component and denote it by $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$. The Grassmannian model Gr_{O} can now be identified with $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$. Clearly, for $[Z_L] \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$ if we write $Z_L = X_L + iY_L$, then $v = \mathbb{R}X_L + \mathbb{R}Y_L$ is an element of Gr_{O}, whereas, conversely, for v in Gr_{O}, we obtain a unique $[Z_L] = [X_L + iY_L]$ in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$.

The projective cone $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$ can now be realized as a tube domain as follows. Let $e_1 \in L$ be a primitive isotropic lattice vector, i.e. $q(e_1) = 0$, and choose $e_2 \in L'$ with $(e_1, e_2) = 1$. Denote by K the Lorentzian $\mathbb{Z}$-sub-lattice $K = L \cap e_1^{\perp} \cap e_2^{\perp}$.

Then, by requiring $(X_L, e_1) = 1$, $(Y_L, e_1) = 0$, we obtain a unique representative Z_L for $[Z_L] \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$, which we may write in the form

$$Z_L = e_2 + be_1 + Z = (1, b, Z),$$

with $Z \in K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}$. The set of vectors in $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ with positive imaginary part,

$$\{Z = X + iY \in K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}\,;\, Y^2 > 0\}$$

is mapped biholomorphically to $\mathcal{K}$, by the map

$$Z \longmapsto [Z_L] = [(1, -q(Z) - q(e')\,, Z)]. \tag{5}$$

The tube domain model $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ is the connected component mapped to $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$. Let $Z = X + iY$ be a point of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$, and $[Z_L]$, $v = \mathbb{R}X_L + \mathbb{R}Y_L$ the corresponding elements in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$ and Gr_{O}, respectively. We have (cf. [7])

$$\begin{aligned} Z_L &= (1, -q(Z) - q(e')\,, Z)\,, \\ X_L &= (1, q(Y) - q(X) - q(e')\,, X)\,, \\ Y_L &= (0, -(X, Y), Y)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $X_L^2 = Y_L^2 = Y^2$, since for any $x \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$ with $(x, e) = 0$, the projection to $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$p_K \,:\, x \mapsto x - (x, e_1)e_2,$$

is an isometry.

Modular forms For the lattice L, as a quadratic $\mathbb{Z}$-module, we denote by $\mathrm{SO}^+(L)$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}^+(V)$, which consists of isometries of L. By Γ_L^{O}, we denote the discriminant kernel, the subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}^+(L)$ acting trivially on the discriminant group L'/L. We will consider subgroups of finite index in Γ_L^{O} as modular groups.

The operation of $\mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{R})$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ induces a non-trivial factor of automorphy, denoted $J(\gamma; Z)$. Then, if $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_L^{\mathrm{O}}$ is a modular group, automorphic forms on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ for Γ are defined similarly as automorphic forms on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ in the unitary setting, see e.g. [7].

Boundary components For the following, cf. [8]. Isotropic subspaces of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$ correspond to boundary components of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$ in the zero-quadric $\mathcal{N}$. We have the following description

Remark 3.1. 1. *Let F be a one-dimensional isotropic subspace of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, F represents a boundary point of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$. Such a boundary point is called* special. *A zero-dimensional boundary component is a set consisting of one such point.*

2. *Let $F \subset V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$ be a two-dimensional totally isotropic subspace. Boundary points which are described by elements of $F_{\mathbb{C}} = F \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and are not special are called* generic. *The set of all generic boundary points attached to F is called a one-dimensional boundary component of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$ in $\mathcal{N}$.*

In particular, there is a one-to-one correspondence between boundary components and isotropic subspaces of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$. The boundary $\partial\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$ is the disjoint union of all its zero- and one-dimensional boundary components.

Note that one-dimensional boundary components can be identified with copies of the usual upper half-plane $\mathbb{H} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C}\,;\, \Im\tau > 0\}$.

A boundary component is called *rational*, if the corresponding isotropic subspace F is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Cusps, as usual, are defined by Γ-equivalence classes of rational isotropic vectors. In particular, e_1 can be identified with a cusp for Γ_L^{O} of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$.

4 The embedding

The underlying quadratic space As already sketched above, the hermitian space $V_{\mathbb{F}}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ over $\mathbb{F}$ can also be considered as a quadratic space $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$, equipped with the bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. Accordingly, we can view the complex hermitian space $V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C}), \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ as the real quadratic space $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R})$, with $(\cdot,\cdot)$ extended to a real-valued bilinear form.

The identification of $V_{\mathbb{F}}, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ with the underlying rational quadratic space $V_{\mathbb{Q}}, (\cdot,\cdot)$ induces am embedding of the unitary group $\mathrm{U}(V)$ into the special orthogonal group

$\mathrm{SO}(V)$ associated with the bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)$. Further, we consider $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}),(\cdot,\cdot)$ as a real quadratic space underlying the complex hermitian space $V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C}),\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, and we may, in particular, identify $\mathrm{U}(V)(\mathbb{R})$ with a subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{R})$.

Similarly, a hermitian lattice L in $V_{\mathbb{F}},\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is also a lattice in $V_{\mathbb{Q}},(\cdot,\cdot)$ as a quadratic $\mathbb{Z}$-module with $L\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}=V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and the quadratic form $q(\cdot)\mid_L$. The dual of L as a hermitian lattice over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and as a quadratic lattice over $\mathbb{Z}$ is the same, namely L'. Thus, L'/L is the discriminant group, either way. The discriminant kernel $\Gamma_L^{\mathrm{U}}\subset\mathrm{U}(L)$ is a subgroup of the discriminant kernel $\Gamma_L^{\mathrm{O}}\subset\mathrm{SO}(L)$.

On the complex hermitian space $V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C})$, of course, complex numbers act as scalars. If, however we consider the underlying real vector space, an element of $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$ induces a non-trivial endomorphism. For this reason, we introduce the following notation

Definition 4.1. *Let μ be in $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$. We denote by $\hat{\mu}$ the endomorphism of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}),(\cdot,\cdot)$ induced from the scalar multiplication with μ in the complex hermitian space $V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C})$, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. For typographic reasons, the endomorphism induced by the complex unit i is denoted $\hat{\imath}$. Note that $\hat{\imath}\in\mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{R})$.*

Clearly, if $\mu\in\mathbb{F}$, then $\hat{\mu}$ is already an endomorphism of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $(\cdot,\cdot)$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

Embedding of symmetric domains If $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{U}(V)(\mathbb{R})$, embedded into $\mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a maximal compact subgroup $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{SO}}$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{R})$ with $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U}}\hookrightarrow\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{SO}}$. Then, we may embed the respective symmetric domains given by the group quotients

$$\mathrm{U}(V)(\mathbb{R})/\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{U}}\hookrightarrow\mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{R})/\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{SO}}. \tag{6}$$

The quotient on the left hand side of (6) is isomorphic to a Grassmannian Gr_{U} consisting of positive definite lines $\mathbb{C}z\subset V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C})$, with $\langle z,z\rangle>0$. Thus, (6) induces an embedding of Gr_{U} into Gr_{O}. Further, since, clearly, $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{U}}\simeq\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$, we may embed $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$ into Gr_{O}, identifying an element $[z]\in\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$ with a subspace $v=\mathbb{R}X_L+\mathbb{R}Y_L$ contained in Gr_{O}. With the bijection between Gr_{O} and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$ we finally get an embedding of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$ into $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$. A priori, this embedding is merely real analytic. However, by carefully choosing the oriented basis vectors X_L, Y_L for the image of $[z]$ in Gr_{O}, it can realized as a holomorphic embedding. Then, with suitable coordinates, we also obtain an embedding of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ into the tube domain $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$.

To summarize, the embedding in (6) induces maps at all levels of the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{C}z\in\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{U}} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, leftrightarrow] & \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{O}}\ni v \arrow[d, leftrightarrow] \\
{[z]}\in\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}} \arrow[r, hook, "\alpha"] \arrow[ur, dotted] \arrow[d, leftrightarrow] & \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}\ni[Z_L] \arrow[d, leftrightarrow] \\
(\tau,\sigma)\in\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \arrow[r, hook] & \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}\ni Z.
\end{tikzcd} \tag{7}$$

To facilitate notation, we denote all these maps by α. Which of them is the actual map under consideration should always be clear from the context.

Choice of cusp We want to explicitly describe the embedding of the Siegel domain model $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ into the tube domain model $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$. Assume that we are given $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ with the choice of an isotropic vector $\ell \in L$ and of $\ell' \in L'$ with $\langle \ell, \ell' \rangle \neq 0$ made once and for all.

For the construction of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ a choice of isotropic vector e_1 from L is required, where, now, L is viewed as a quadratic lattice over $\mathbb{Z}$. We set $e_1 := \ell$. With this choice, we associate to ℓ the cusp at infinity for both $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$.

Remark. *With this definition, the parabolic subgroup $P(\ell) \subset \mathrm{U}(V)$ stabilizing ℓ is mapped into the stabilizer of e_1 in $\mathrm{SO}(V)$. In particular, the elements of the Heisenberg group $H(\ell)$ are mapped to transformations generated by Eichler elements in $\mathrm{SO}^+(V)$. For example, it is easily verified that a translation of the forms $[h, 0]$ can be identified with an Eichler element of the form $E(\ell, \frac{h}{2}\hat{\imath}\ell)$, with the notation from [7].*

For each $[z] \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$ we have a unique representative $z \in V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C})$, with $z = z(\tau, \sigma)$ of the form (2). Then, the line $\mathbb{C}z$, considered as a subspace of the real quadratic space $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}), (\cdot, \cdot)$, is a subspace $v \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{O}}$. For each v the choice of an oriented basis (X_L, Y_L), of the form (4), determines an element of $[X_L + iY_L = Z_L]$ in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$. Next, we want to fix this choice.

Complex structure Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
z \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "i"'] & (X_L, Y_L) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Z_L \arrow[d, "i"] & = X_L + iY_L \\
iz \arrow[r] & (\hat{\imath}X_L, \hat{\imath}Y_L) \arrow[r] & iZ_L & = -Y_L + iX_L
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8}$$

To the left and to the right of the diagram, the complex unit i acts as a scalar of the complex spaces $V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C}), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C})$, respectively. By definition it acts as the endomorphism $\hat{\imath}$ on the vectors X_L, Y_L in the real space $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}), (\cdot, \cdot)$. Note that all arrows in (8) represent $\mathbb{R}$-linear maps. Thus, if (8) commutes, the following diagram also commutes for every $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
{[z]} \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, equal] & {[Z_L]} \arrow[d, equal] \\
{[\mu z]} \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & {[\mu Z_L]}
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the embedding $\alpha : \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$, $[z] \mapsto [Z_L]$ is a homomorphism between the complex projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^1(V_{\mathbb{F}})(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbb{P}^1(V_{\mathbb{Q}})(\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, the induced embedding between the affine models $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ in (7) is then holomorphic.

Since X_L and Y_L are contained in $\mathbb{C}z$, we set $X_L = \hat{\psi}z$. Then, clearly (8) commutes exactly if $\hat{\imath}X_L = -Y_L$. Also, in this case, $X_L \perp Y_L$ and $X_L^2 = Y_L^2 = |\psi|^2 \langle z, z \rangle > 0$, as required in (4).

Normalization with respect to e_1 Hence, with $X_L = \hat{\psi} z$, $Y_L = -\widehat{i\psi} z$ the point $[Z_L = X_L + iY_L] \in \mathbb{P}^1(V_\mathbb{Q})(\mathbb{C})$ lies in the positive cone $\mathcal{K}$ of the zero quadric $\mathcal{N}$. We may also assume that it lies in the correct connected component $\mathcal{K}_\mathrm{O}$.

For the vector Z_L to be the unique representative for $[Z_L]$ in the image of the map $\mathcal{H}_\mathrm{O} \to \mathcal{K}_\mathrm{O}$ from (5), we must also have

$$(X_L, e_1) = 2\Re\langle \psi z, \ell\rangle = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (Y_L, e_1) = 2\Re\langle -i\psi z, \ell\rangle = 0.$$

Thus, we set $\psi = \frac{1}{2}\langle \ell', \ell\rangle^{-1}$.

To summarize, for each $[z]$, a suitable choice of basis vectors for its image v in Gr_O, is given by

$$X_L = \widehat{\left(\frac{1}{2\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\right)} z \quad \text{and} \quad Y_L = \widehat{\left(\frac{-i}{2\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\right)} z, \tag{9}$$

where $z = z(\tau, \sigma)$ as in (2) is the usual representative for $[z]$.

Lemma 4.1. *The pullback of holomorphic (meromorphic) automorphic form on $\mathcal{H}_\mathrm{O}$ is a holomorphic (meromorphic) automorphic form on $\mathcal{H}_\mathrm{U}$.*

Proof. Denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}_\mathrm{O}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{K}_\mathrm{U}}$ the preimages of $\mathcal{K}_\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathcal{K}_\mathrm{U}$ under the canonical projections $\mathrm{pr}_\mathbb{F} : V_\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{P}^1(V_\mathbb{F})(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{pr}_\mathbb{Q} : V_\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{P}^1(V_\mathbb{Q})(\mathbb{C})$, respectively. Denote by $\tilde{\alpha}$ the map $V_\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}) \to V_\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{C})$ induced from α. Note that with the above choice, $\tilde{\alpha}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear.

Let $f : \mathcal{H}_\mathrm{O} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic automorphic form of weight k for some modular group $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_L^\mathrm{O}$. Then, f can be identified with a holomorphic function $\tilde{f} : \widetilde{\mathcal{K}_\mathrm{O}} \to \mathbb{C}$, which is $\mathbb{C}$-homogeneous of weight $-k$ and invariant under the operation of Γ on $V_\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{C})$.

Consider the pullback $\tilde{\alpha}^*\tilde{f}$. Since $\tilde{\alpha}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear, the pullback is holomorphic and also $\mathbb{C}$-homogeneous of weight $-k$. Further, it is invariant under $\Gamma' := \Gamma \cap \mathrm{U}(L)$. Clearly Γ' has finite index in Γ_L^U, as the index of Γ in Γ_L^O is finite and $\Gamma_L^\mathrm{U} \subset \Gamma_L^\mathrm{O}$. Then, $\alpha^* f$, the attached function on $\mathcal{H}_\mathrm{U}$, is a holomorphic automorphic form of weight k on Γ'. The proof for meromorphic f is similar. $\square$

A basis for the hyperbolic part In the following, we introduce coordinates for the tube domain, in order to explicitly determine the image in $\mathcal{H}_\mathrm{O}$ of a point $(\tau, \sigma) \in \mathcal{H}_\mathrm{U}$.

For this, we need a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_4$ for the four dimensional real subspace of $V_\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{R})$, $(\cdot, \cdot)$, defined by the $\mathbb{C}$-span of ℓ and ℓ'. One basis vector is $e_1 = \ell$, of course. We put $e_3 = -\hat{\zeta}\ell$, where ζ is the generator of $\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{F}$ defined in (1). Then, $F = \mathbb{Q}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Q}e_3$ is a maximal totally isotropic rational subspace. There is a complementary maximal isotropic subspace F', such that $F \oplus F' = \mathrm{span}_\mathbb{F}\{\ell, \ell'\}$, considered as a subspace of $V_\mathbb{Q}$.

In fact, there is a basis e_2, e_4 for F', such that for $e_1, \ldots, e_4$ the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &(e_1, e_2) = 1, \quad (e_3, e_4) = 1, \\ &(e_i, e_i) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \ldots, 4), \\ &(e_i, e_j) = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2,\ j = 3, 4). \end{aligned}$$

It is easily verified that these conditions are satisfied by the following vectors:

$$e_1 = \ell,\ e_2 = \frac{\zeta}{\delta\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\ell',\ e_3 = -\zeta\ell,\ e_4 = \frac{1}{\delta\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\ell'. \tag{10}$$

Note that if $D_{\mathbb{F}} \equiv 0 \mod 2$, then $\zeta\delta^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}$. Clearly, since L is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$-module, both e_1 and e_3 are contained in L. Also $e_2, e_4 \in L'$, as indeed, $\langle e_2, \ell\rangle, \langle e_4, \ell\rangle \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}}^{-1}$. In this basis, we can write Z_L in the form

$$Z_L = -\left(Z_1 \cdot Z_2 + q(\mathfrak{z})\right) e_1 + e_2 + Z_1 e_3 + Z_2 e_4 + \mathfrak{z},$$

with $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{x} + i\mathfrak{y} \in D \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ negative definite.

Embedding into the tube domain Now we calculate the components of X_L and Y_L in terms of τ and σ. In the following calculation all complex scalars come from the complex structure of $V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C})$, As there is no possibility of confusion, no special notation is required for these. In the end result, all coordinates will be real. For X_L, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_L(z) &= \frac{1}{2\langle \ell', \ell\rangle} z = -\frac{\tau\delta}{2}\ell + \frac{1}{2\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\ell' + \mathfrak{x}(\sigma) \\ &= \frac{\zeta}{\delta\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\ell' - \Re\zeta\frac{1}{\delta\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\ell' + \Re\tau(-\zeta\ell) + \left(\Re\zeta \cdot \Re\tau + \frac{1}{2}\Im\tau|\delta|\right)\ell + \mathfrak{x}(\sigma) \\ &= e_2 - \Re\zeta e_4 + \Re\tau e_3 + \left(\Re\zeta \cdot \Re\tau + \Im\zeta \cdot \Im\tau\right)e_1 + \mathfrak{x}(\sigma), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

since $\Im\zeta = \frac{1}{2}|\delta|$ and $\Re\zeta$ is either 0 or $\frac{1}{2}$, depending on the discriminant of $\mathbb{F}$.

Similarly, for Y_L we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_L(z) &= \frac{-i}{2\langle \ell', \ell\rangle} z = \frac{|\delta|}{2\delta\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\ell' - \Re\tau\frac{|\delta|}{2}\ell - \Im\tau\frac{\delta}{2}\ell + \mathfrak{y}(\sigma) \\ &= \Im\zeta e_4 + \Im\tau e_3 - \left(\Re\zeta \cdot \Im\tau - \Im\zeta \cdot \Re\tau\right)e_1 + \mathfrak{y}(\sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Now, $Z_L = X_L + iY_L$ can be written in the form

$$Z_L(\tau, \sigma) = \bar{\zeta}\tau e_1 + e_2 + \tau e_3 - \bar{\zeta} e_4 + \mathfrak{z}(\sigma). \tag{13}$$

Note that all coordinates in this equation are scalars of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C})$. The corresponding element Z in the tube domain is given by

$$Z(\tau, \sigma) = \tau e_3 - \bar{\zeta} e_4 + \mathfrak{z}(\sigma) = (\tau, -\bar{\zeta}, \mathfrak{z}). \tag{14}$$

Remark. *Note that imaginary part of the* e_3*- and* e_4*-components of* Z *is positive, due to the choice of sign for* e_3 *in* (10)*. Thus* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ *is mapped into the connected component of* $\mathcal{K}$ *containing the point* $[1, 1, i, i, 0]$.

Finally, from (9), the image of σ under α, is given by $\mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{x} + i\mathfrak{y}$, with

$$\mathfrak{x} = \left(\frac{1}{2\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\right)^{\frown}\sigma, \quad \mathfrak{y} = \left(\frac{-i}{2\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\right)^{\frown}\sigma.$$

Remark. *By the definition of $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{N}$, the image $\mathfrak{z}(\sigma)$ of σ satisfies the following set of equations, which further restrict the geometric locus in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ of the embedded image of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$:*

$$\mathfrak{z}(\sigma)^2 = \mathfrak{x}(\sigma)^2 - \mathfrak{y}(\sigma)^2 = 0, \quad \textit{and} \quad \big(\mathfrak{z}(\sigma), \bar{\mathfrak{z}}(\sigma)\big) = \mathfrak{x}(\sigma)^2 + \mathfrak{y}(\sigma)^2 < 0.$$

The embedding on the boundary

Proposition 4.1. *Boundary points of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ are mapped to one-dimensional boundary components of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$. The boundary point attached to the primitive isotropic lattice vector ℓ is mapped into the boundary component attached to the rational isotropic subspace $F = \mathbb{Q}\ell \oplus \mathbb{Q}\hat{\zeta}\ell$ of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}, (\cdot,\cdot)$.*

Proof. The boundary points of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ can be described by isotropic lines of the form $\mathbb{C}x$, with $x \in V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C})$, $x \neq 0$ and $\langle x, x\rangle = 0$. Then, in the quadratic space $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}), (\cdot,\cdot)$, the two vectors x and $\hat{\xi}x$, are isotropic and, for $\xi \notin \mathbb{R}$, linear independent. Hence, $F_{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R}x \oplus \mathbb{R}\hat{\xi}x$ is a two-dimensional isotropic subspace of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{R}), (\cdot,\cdot)$ and corresponds to a boundary component of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$.

In particular, $F := \mathbb{F}\ell$ is a two-dimensional isotopic subspace of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}, (\cdot,\cdot)$, spanned by ℓ and $\hat{\zeta}\ell$, and defines a rational boundary component. ☐

We now consider how the neighborhood of a cusp behaves under the embedding α. It suffices to consider the cusp at infinity.

Lemma 4.2. *Consider the boundary point at infinity of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$, attached to ℓ. The inverse image of every open neighborhood of the boundary point $\alpha(\infty)$ in the closure of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$ contains an open neighborhood of infinity in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \cup \{\infty\}$.*

Proof. Consider the two-dimensional isotropic subspace $F = \mathbb{Q}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Q}e_2$ of $V_{\mathbb{Q}}, (\cdot,\cdot)$ and let $F_{\mathbb{C}} = F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$. Let $[x]$ be a point in the one-dimensional boundary component of $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$ defined by $F_{\mathbb{C}}$. Denote by π the canonical projection from $V_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{C})$ to $\mathbb{P}^1(V_{\mathbb{Q}})(\mathbb{C})$. In the zero-quadric $\mathcal{N}$, a neighborhood of $[x]$ is a union of the form $U \cup V$, with U open in $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$ and V a subset of $\pi(F_{\mathbb{C}}) \cap \partial\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$, open with respect to the subset topology. A more precise description can be obtained as follows, cf. [8]: We identify $F_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \pi^{-1}(\partial\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}})$ with the upper half-plane $\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{C}$ via $\tau' \mapsto -\tau' e_1 + e_3 = x$. Then, a fundamental system of neighborhoods for x is given by $U_\epsilon \cup V_\epsilon$, $\epsilon > 0$, with

$$\begin{aligned} V_\epsilon(x) &= \{Z_2 \in \mathbb{H};\ |Z_2 - \tau'| < \epsilon\}, \\ U_\epsilon(x) &= \left\{(Z_1, Z_2, \mathfrak{z}) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}};\ Z_2 \in V_\epsilon(x),\ Y_1Y_2 + q(\mathfrak{y}) > \epsilon^{-1}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let x be the image under α of the boundary point ∞ of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ in $\pi(F_{\mathbb{C}}) \cap \partial\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$. Since

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \alpha(z(it,\sigma)) = \lim_{t\to\infty} \left[it\bar{\zeta}, 1, it, -\bar{\zeta}, \alpha(\sigma)\right] = [\bar{\zeta}, 0, 1, 0, 0], \tag{15}$$

we have $x = \bar{\zeta}e_1 + e_3$. Now, for every $Z \in \alpha(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}})$, clearly $Z_2 = -\bar{\zeta} \in V_\epsilon(x)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. For $Z \in \alpha(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}})$, the imaginary part Y is given by

$$Y = \Im\tau e_3 + \frac{|\delta|}{2}e_4 + \widehat{\Big(\frac{-i}{2\langle\ell', \ell\rangle}\Big)}\sigma,$$

for some $(\tau,\sigma) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$. Thus, if $Z \in U_\epsilon(x) \cap \alpha(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}})$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\Im\tau|\delta| + \frac{\langle\sigma,\sigma\rangle}{4|\langle\ell',\ell\rangle|^2} > \frac{1}{\epsilon}.$$

It follows that (τ,σ) is contained in one of the neighborhoods of infinity $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}^C$, as introduced in definition 2.1,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}^C = \big\{(\tau,\sigma) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}\,;\ 2\Im\tau|\delta||\langle\ell',\ell\rangle|^2 + \langle\sigma,\sigma\rangle > C\big\}.$$

Where, in this case, $C = 4|\langle\ell',\ell\rangle|^2/\epsilon$. □

5 Weil representation and vector valued modular forms

In this section we recall some facts about the Weil representation and about vector valued modular forms.

The Weil representation Let L be an even lattice over $\mathbb{Z}$ with symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form $(\cdot,\cdot)$ and associated quadratic form $q(\cdot)$. Assume that L has rank $2+2m$ and signature $(2,2m)$.

Then, the Weil representation of the metaplectic group $\mathrm{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[L'/L]$ factors through $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus, we have a unitary representation ρ_L of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{C}[L'/L]$, defined by the action of the standard generators of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, $S = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $T = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, cf. [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_L(T)\,\mathfrak{e}_\gamma &= e\big(q(\gamma)\big)\mathfrak{e}_\gamma, \\ \rho_L(S)\,\mathfrak{e}_\gamma &= \frac{\sqrt{i}^{\,b-2}}{\sqrt{|L'/L|}} \sum_{\delta\in L'/L} e\big(-(\gamma,\delta)\big)\mathfrak{e}_\delta, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\mathfrak{e}_\gamma$, $\gamma \in L'/L$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{C}[L'/L]$. The negative identity matrix $-E_2 \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts as $\rho_L(E_2)\mathfrak{e}_\gamma = (-1)^{m-1}\mathfrak{e}_{-\gamma}$. We denote by ρ_L^* the dual representation of ρ_L.

Vector valued modular forms Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$ and f be a $\mathbb{C}[L'/L]$ valued function on $\mathbb{H}$ and let ρ be a representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{C}[L'/L]$. For $M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ we define the usual Petersson slash operator as

$$\left(f\mid_{\kappa,\rho} M\right)(\tau) = \phi(M,\tau)^{-\kappa}\rho(M)^{-1}f(M\tau), \tag{17}$$

where $M = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ acts as usual on $\mathbb{H}$, with $M\tau = \frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}$ and $\phi(M,\tau) = c\tau + d$.

Definition 5.1. *Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$. A function $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}[L'/L]$ is called a weakly holomorphic (vector valued) modular form of weight κ transforming under ρ, if it satisfies*

1. *$f\mid_{\kappa,\rho} M = f$ for all $M \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$,*

2. f is holomorphic on $\mathbb{H}$,

3. f is meromorphic at the cusp $i\infty$.

The space of such forms is denoted $\mathcal{M}^!_{\kappa,\rho}$. The holomorphic modular forms, i.e. those holomorphic at the cusp, are denoted $\mathcal{M}_{\kappa,\rho}$.

If $f \in \mathcal{M}^!_{\kappa,\rho}$, by invariance under the $|_{\kappa,\rho}$-action of $T \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, it has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{\gamma \in L'/L} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} c(n,\gamma) e(n\tau) \mathfrak{e}_\gamma.$$

Note that for $\rho = \rho_L$, the coefficient $c(n,\gamma)$ is non-zero if $n \equiv q(\gamma) \bmod 1$ and zero otherwise. For ρ_L^*, the coefficient $c(n,\gamma) \neq 0$ exactly if $n \equiv -q(\gamma) \bmod 1$.

In the present paper, weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $\kappa = 1-m$ are used as inputs for the Borcherds lift. Note that if $f \in \mathcal{M}^!_{1-m,\rho_L}$, the Fourier coefficients of f satisfy $c(n,\gamma) = c(n,-\gamma)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\gamma \in L'/L$, $\gamma \not\equiv 0 \mod L$.

The space $\mathcal{M}_{1+m,\rho_L^*}$ of holomorphic modular forms transforming under the dual representation also plays an important role in this paper, as it is the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{M}^!_{1-m,\rho_L}$ under the residue-pairing, see section 10.

6 Heegner divisors

The zeros and poles of the Borcherds lift lie along certain divisors related to lattice vectors of negative norm, which we define in this section.

Let $\lambda \in L'$ be a lattice vector of negative norm, with $\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle = q(\lambda) < 0$. Then, we associate to λ a *prime Heegner divisor* on $\mathcal{H}_\mathrm{U}$. First however, we briefly recall the definition of Heegner divisors on $\mathcal{H}_\mathrm{O}$.

Heegner divisors on $\mathcal{H}_\mathrm{O}$ For this, compare [7], [3]. The orthogonal complement $\lambda^\perp$ with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)$ is a subspace of $V_\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{R})$, $(\cdot,\cdot)$ of codimension 1 and signature $(2, 2m-1)$. The set of subspaces $V_\mathbb{Q}$ contained in Gr_O with $v \in \lambda^\perp$ is a sub-Grassmannian of codimension 1, which we also denote by $\lambda^\perp$. Under the map from Gr_O to $\mathcal{K}_\mathrm{O}$, $\lambda^\perp$ bijects to the set $\{[Z_L] \in \mathcal{K}_\mathrm{O}\,;\, (\lambda, Z_L) = 0\}$.

Thus, we can identify $\lambda^\perp$ with a subset of the tube domain. Also denoted by $\lambda^\perp$, it is defined as follows: Write λ in the form $ae_2 + be_1 + \lambda_K$, with $\lambda_K \in K \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$\lambda^\perp := \{Z \in \mathcal{H}_\mathrm{O}\,;\, b - q(Z)\,a + (\lambda_K, Z) = 0\}.$$

Given $\beta \in L'/L$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $n < 0$, a Heegner divisor of index (n,β) is a Γ_L^O-invariant divisor on $\mathcal{H}_\mathrm{O}$ defined by the (locally finite) sum

$$H(n,\beta) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in \beta + L \\ q(\lambda) = n}} \lambda^\perp.$$

Its support is a locally finite union of sub-Grassmannians,

$$\bigcup_{\substack{\lambda\in\beta+L\\ q(\lambda)=n}} \lambda^{\perp}.$$

Heegner divisors on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ The complement of λ in the hermitian space $V_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{C}), \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ is a subspace of codimension 1. The complement of λ in the Grassmannian Gr_{U} is a closed analytic subset of codimension 1, which we denote as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H}(\lambda) &:= \{v \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{U}}\,;\, \langle\lambda, v\rangle = 0\} \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{U}}\\ &= \{[z] \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}\,;\, \langle\lambda, z\rangle = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1(V_{\mathbb{F}})(\mathbb{C}).\end{aligned}$$

Considering representatives for $[z] \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$ of the form $z(\tau,\sigma)$, we associate to $\mathbf{H}(\lambda)$ a closed analytic subset of the Siegel domain, also denoted $\mathbf{H}(\lambda)$,

$$\mathbf{H}(\lambda) := \{(\tau,\sigma) \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}\,;\, \langle\lambda, z(\tau,\sigma)\rangle = 0\}.$$

We call a set of this form a *prime Heegner divisor* on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$.

Now, given $\beta \in L'/L$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n < 0$, we define a *Heegner divisor of index* (n,β) as the (locally finite) sum

$$\mathbf{H}(n,\beta) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda\in\beta+L\\ \langle\lambda,\lambda\rangle=n}} \mathbf{H}(\lambda).$$

Since L has multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$, clearly, $\mathbf{H}(\lambda) = \mathbf{H}(u\lambda)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\times}$, while $\beta = u\beta$ only if either $\beta \equiv 0 \bmod L$ or $u = 1$. As a consequence, for $\beta \not\equiv 0 \bmod L$,

$$\mathbf{H}(n,\beta) = \mathbf{H}(n,u\beta), \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\times}. \tag{18}$$

In contrast, $\lambda^{\perp} = (\hat{u}\lambda)^{\perp}$ only if $u = \pm 1$. Thus $H(n,\beta)$ is equal to $H(n,-\beta)$ but not, in general, to $H(n,u\beta)$ if $u \neq 1$.

Behavior under the embedding

Lemma 6.1. *Let λ be a lattice vector with $\lambda \in L'$, with $\langle\lambda,\lambda\rangle < 0$. Then, for the image of $\mathbf{H}(\lambda)$ under α, we have*

$$\alpha(\mathbf{H}(\lambda)) = \big(\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}) \cap \lambda^{\perp}\big) \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}.$$

The intersection is non-empty, since since α is injective, and both $\mathbf{H}(\lambda) \neq \emptyset$ and $\lambda^{\perp} \neq \emptyset$.

Remark. *Note that, as a consequence, for all $u \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\times}$ the sub-Grassmannians $(\hat{u}\lambda)^{\perp}$ intersect on the image $\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}})$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$.*

Proof. We consider $\lambda^{\perp}$ and $\mathbf{H}(\lambda)$ as subsets of the projective cones $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$. Let $[Z_L] \in \alpha(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}) \cap \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{O}}$ and assume that $[Z_L] \in \lambda^{\perp}$, let $[z] \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{U}}$ with $\alpha([z]) = [Z_L]$. Then, if Z_L and z are the normalized representatives, by (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = (\lambda, Z_L) &\Leftrightarrow \left(\lambda, \frac{z}{2\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\widehat{\ } + i\frac{-\hat{\imath}z}{2\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\widehat{\ }\right) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \Re\frac{\langle \lambda, z\rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell\rangle} + i\Im\frac{\langle \lambda, z\rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell\rangle} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \langle \lambda, z\rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $z \in \mathbf{H}(\lambda)$. □

Thus, a Heegner divisor on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ defines a Heegner divisor on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$. And, since a linear combination of Heegner divisors on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ can be pulled back to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$, the following assertion holds:

Lemma 6.2. *If $H(n, \beta)$ is a Heegner divisor of index (n, β) on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$, its restriction to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is given by a Heegner divisor of the form $\mathbf{H}(n, \beta)$.*

7 Weyl chambers

The lattice L_0 and the projection p Consider a lattice L with a primitive isotropic vector $\ell \in L$. Then, there is a unique positive integer N_ℓ, such that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}\langle L, \ell\rangle = N_\ell\mathbb{Z}$. Now, a $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule of the dual lattice L' is defined as follows:

$$L'_0 := \left\{\lambda \in L' \, ; \, \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}\langle \lambda, \ell\rangle \equiv 0 \mod N_\ell\right\}.$$

Note that L'_0, is, in general, not a hermitian lattice in the sense defined in section 2. It does however, have as multiplier ideal an order $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathbb{F}$, with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} \supset \mathcal{O} \supset N_\ell\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Recall the definition of the Lorentzian $\mathbb{Z}$-lattice $K = L \cap e_1 \cap e_2$, where $e_1 = \ell$ and e_2 is given by (10). We have $|L'/L| = N_\ell^2 \cdot |K'/K|$.

For the following, see [7]. A projection p from L'_0 to K' with the property that $p(L) = K$ can be defined as follows. Let f be a vector in L with $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{Q}}\langle \ell, f\rangle = N_\ell$ and $\lambda \in L'$. Denote by $f_K = p_K(f)$ and $\lambda_K = p_K(\lambda)$ the projections to the Lorentzian space $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Then, the projection

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda_K - \frac{(\lambda, \ell)}{N_\ell} f_K$$

takes L to K and induces a surjective map from L'_0/L to K'/K. Let $\kappa \in K'$. A system of representatives for $\beta \in L'_0/L$, with $p(\beta) = \kappa + K$ is given by $\beta - (\beta, f)/N_\ell + b\ell/N_\ell$, where b runs over a system of representatives modulo N_ℓ.

Weyl chambers for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ Denote by $\mathcal{G}_K$ the Grassmannian of positive definite one-dimensional subspaces of the Lorentzian space $K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. This Grassmannian can be realized as a hyperboloid model, see [7]. For $\beta \in L_0'/L$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, a Heegner divisor $H(n, p(\beta))$ of index $(n, p(\beta))$ on $\mathcal{G}_K$ can be defined as usual, as a locally finite union of sub-Grassmannians of codimension one. Then, $\mathcal{G}_K - H(n, p(\beta))$ is disjoint. Its connected components are called *Weyl chambers*, of index $(n, p(\beta))$. More generally, any non-empty finite intersection of Weyl chambers of $\mathcal{G}_K$ is also called a Weyl chamber.

Weyl chambers of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ are defined as follows: If W is a Weyl chamber in the hyperboloid model of $\mathcal{G}_K$, then for $Z = X + iY \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$, we say that Z is contained in W if $\frac{Y}{|Y|} \in W$.

The definition of Weyl chambers on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is now derived from that of Weyl chambers in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ by way of the embedding α.

Definition 7.1. *A Weyl chamber W of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is a connected subset of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ which under α is mapped to (the intersection with $\alpha(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}})$ with) a Weyl chamber in $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$. For $z = z(\tau, \sigma)$, we say that $z \in W$, if $(\tau, \sigma) \in W$.*

Weyl chambers of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ can be defined explicitly through inequalities satisfied by Y: Let W be a Weyl chamber of index $(n, p(\beta))$. Then Z is contained in W if and only if Z does not lies on any Heegner divisor of index $(n, p(\beta))$. Equivalently, for any $\kappa \in p(\beta) + K$, (Y, κ) is non-zero.

Thus, for $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$, since $(Y_L, \kappa) = (Y, \kappa)$, for all $\kappa \in K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, it follows that every Weyl chamber of index $(n, p(\beta))$ is defined through inequalities of the form

$$s_\lambda \cdot \Im \frac{\langle z(\tau, \sigma), \lambda \rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell \rangle} > 0, \quad \text{with} \quad s_\lambda \in \{\pm 1\}, \quad \text{for } \lambda \in p(\beta) + K.$$

Weyl chamber condition Conversely, let W be a Weyl chamber and $\kappa \in K'$ fixed. Then, the sign of $(Y, \kappa) = (Y_L, \kappa)$ is constant for all $Y \in W$.

For Weyl chambers on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ we introduce the following notational convention: Write

$$(\kappa, W) > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \Im \frac{\langle z, \kappa \rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell \rangle} > 0 \quad \text{for every } z = z(\tau, \sigma) \in W. \tag{19}$$

Weyl chambers attached to modular forms If f is a weakly holomorphic modular form in $\mathcal{M}^!_{1-m, \rho_L}$, with Fourier coefficients $c(n, \beta)$ in its principal part, the connected components of

$$\mathcal{G}_K - \bigcup\nolimits_{\beta \in L_0'/L} \bigcup\nolimits_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} + q(\beta) \\ c(n,\beta) \neq 0 \\ n < 0}} H(n, p(\beta))$$

are called the *Weyl chambers* of $\mathcal{G}_K$ with respect to f. If W is such Weil-chamber, it can be written in the form

$$W = \bigcap\nolimits_{\beta \in L_0'/L} \bigcap\nolimits_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} + q(\beta) \\ c(n,\beta) \neq 0 \\ n < 0}} W_{n,\beta}, \tag{20}$$

where $W_{n,\beta}$ is a Weyl chamber of index $(n, p(\beta))$ with $W \subset W_{n,\beta}$, cf. [7].

8 The main theorem

Theorem 8.1. *Let L be an even hermitian lattice of signature $(1, m)$, with $m \geq 1$, and $\ell \in L$ a primitive isotropic vector. Let $\ell' \in L'$ with $\langle \ell, \ell' \rangle \neq 0$. Further assume that ℓ' is isotropic, as well.*

Given a weakly holomorphic modular form $f \in \mathcal{M}^!_{1-m,\rho_L}$ with Fourier coefficients $c(n, \beta)$ satisfying $c(n, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $n < 0$, there is a meromorphic function $\Xi_f : \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}} \to \bar{\mathbb{C}}$ with the following properties:

1. *Ξ_f is an automorphic form of weight $c(0, 0)/2$ for Γ^{U}_L, with some multiplier system χ of finite order.*

2. *The zeros and poles of Ξ_f lie on Heegner divisors. The divisor of Ξ_f on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is given by*
$$\operatorname{div}\bigl(\Xi_f\bigr) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in L'/L} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}+q(\beta) \\ n<0}} c(n, \beta)\, \mathbf{H}(n, \beta).$$
The multiplicities of the $\mathbf{H}(n, \beta)$ are 2, if $2\beta = 0$ in L'/L, and 1 otherwise. Note that $c(n, \beta) = c(n, -\beta)$ and also that $\mathbf{H}(n, \beta) = \mathbf{H}(n, u\beta)$ for $u \in \mathcal{O}^\times_{\mathbb{F}}, \beta \neq 0$.

3. *For the cusp corresponding to ℓ and for each Weyl chamber W, $\Xi_f(z)$ has an infinite product expansion of the form*
$$\Xi_f(z) = C\, e\left(\frac{\langle z, \rho_f(W)\rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\right) \times \prod_{\substack{\lambda \in K' \\ (\lambda, W)>0}} \prod_{\substack{\beta \in L'_0/L \\ p(\beta)=\lambda+K}} \left[1 - e\left(\frac{\langle z, \lambda\rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell\rangle} + 2\Re\left[\frac{\bar{\zeta}\langle \beta, \ell'\rangle}{\bar{\delta}\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\right]\right)\right]^{c(q(\lambda),\beta)}$$
where $z = z(\tau, \sigma) = \ell' - \delta\langle \ell', \ell\rangle\tau\ell + \sigma$, the constant C has absolute value 1 and $\rho_f(W) \in K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is the Weyl vector attached to W. The product converges normally for any z lying in the complement of the set of poles of Ξ_f, and satisfying $\langle z, z\rangle > 4|\langle \ell', \ell\rangle|^2 |n_0|$, where $n_0 = min\{n \in \mathbb{Z};\ c(n, \beta) \neq 0\}$.

4. *The lifting is multiplicative: $\Xi(z; f + g) = \Xi(z; f) \cdot \Xi(z; g)$.*

Remark 8.1. *The Weyl vector $\rho_f(W)$, attached to W and f can often be computed explicitly, see [3], theorem 10.4. Also, if the Weyl chamber is decomposed as in* (20)*, then by a formula of Bruinier, cf. [7], p. 88, $\rho_f(W)$ is a linear combination of vectors $\rho_{n,\beta}$ attached to the $W_{n,\beta}$.*

Corollary 8.1. *We use the notation of the theorem. Suppose L is the direct sum of a hyperbolic plane $H \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{-1}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and a definite part D, with $\langle D, H\rangle = 0$. Then, for a* *cusp corresponding to $\ell \in H$ and every Weyl chamber W, the lift $\Xi_f(z)$ has an absolutely convergent Borcherds product expansion of the form*

$$\Xi_f(z) = C\, e\left(\frac{\langle z, \rho_f(W)\rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\right) \prod_{\substack{\lambda \in K' \\ (\lambda, W)>0}} \left[1 - e\left(\frac{\langle z, \lambda\rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\right)\right]^{c(q(\lambda),\lambda)}. \tag{21}$$

In particular, if $\langle \ell, \ell'\rangle = -\delta^{-1}$, the Borcherds product of $\Xi_f(z)$ can be written as

$$\Xi_f(z) = C\, e\left(\delta\langle z, \rho_f(W)\rangle\right) \prod_{\substack{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}\zeta\ell \oplus \mathbb{Z}\ell' \oplus D' \\ (\lambda, W)>0}} \Big(1 - e\big(\delta\langle z, \lambda\rangle\big)\Big)^{c(q(\lambda),\lambda)}, \tag{22}$$

where, as usual, $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}\delta$ if $D_{\mathbb{F}}$ is even and $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}(1+\delta)$, if $D_{\mathbb{F}}$ is odd.

The proof is mostly based on results from Borcherds' seminal paper [3], in which Borcherds uses a regularized theta-lift to construct a lifting from weakly holomorphic vector valued modular forms to automorphic forms on orthogonal groups. If M is an even lattice of signature $(2,b)$, and $f \in \mathcal{M}^!_{1-b/2,\rho_M}$, the lifting is given by the regularized integral

$$\Phi_M(Z, f) = \int_{\mathcal{F}}^{reg} \langle f(\tau), \Theta_M(\tau, Z)\rangle\, y^{b/2} \frac{dx\, dy}{y^2},$$

with a (generalized) Siegel theta series Θ_M. In this case, the additive lifting $\Phi_M(Z,f)$ can be used to obtain a multiplicative lifting $\Psi_M(Z,f)$. The functions Φ_M and Ψ_M are related through

$$\log|\, \Psi_M(Z,f)| = -\frac{\Phi_M(Z,f)}{4} - \frac{1}{2}c(0,0)\left(\log|Y_M| + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma'(1) + \log\sqrt{2\pi}\right), \tag{23}$$

where $c(0,0)$ denotes the constant term in in the Fourier expansion of f. Now for $M = L$ and $b = 2m$, we apply the machinery of the pullback under the embedding α developed in the previous sections to Borcherds' results, and define

$$\Xi_f(z) := \alpha^* \Psi_L(Z, f). \tag{24}$$

Proof of the theorem. The main reference for the proof is, of course, [3] in particular theorem 13.3 there, in which the properties of the multiplicative lift are formulated. *1)* This follows from Borcherds' result by pullback, since Ψ_L is meromorphic on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ and automorphic of weight $c(0,0)/2$ for (a subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}^+(L)$ containing) Γ_L^{O}, cf. [3] lemma 13.1. Then, Ξ_f is a meromorphic function on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ transforming as a automorphic form of weight $c(0,0)/2$ for Γ_L^{U}, since Γ_L^{U} is contained as a subgroup in Γ_L^{O}.

That the multiplier system χ has finite order, similarly follows by pullback. It is a consequence of a theorem by Margulis, see [7], [5].

2) The divisor of $\Psi_L(Z,f)$ is given by (cf. [7])

$$\operatorname{div}(\Psi_L) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in L'/L} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}+q(\beta) \\ n<0}} c(n,\beta) H(n,\beta).$$

Now, by lemma 6.1 the restriction of each Heegner divisor occurring in the sum induces a Heegner divisor on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$, with $H(n,\beta) \cap \alpha(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}) = \mathbf{H}(n,\beta)$. Thus, the divisor of the pullback Ξ_f is given by

$$\operatorname{div}(\Xi_f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in L'/L} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} + \langle \beta, \beta \rangle \\ n < 0}} c(n,\beta) \mathbf{H}(n,\beta),$$

wherein, for $\beta \not\equiv 0 \mod L$, by (18), $\mathbf{H}(n,\beta) = \mathbf{H}(n, u\beta)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\times}$.

4) This follows directly from the multiplicativity of the Borcherds lift $\Psi_L(Z,f)$.

3) For the product expansion we must examine part of Borcherds' proof in more detail. For the Fourier expansion of the regularized theta-lift, Borcherds finds the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_L(Z,f) = {} & 8\pi(Y, \rho_f(W)) + c(0,0)\Big(\log(e_v^2) - \Gamma'(1) - \log(2\pi)\Big) \\ & - 2 \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{Z} N_\ell \mathbb{Z} \\ \beta \neq 0}} c(\beta\ell/N_\ell, 0) \log\left(1 - e\left(\frac{\beta}{N_\ell}\right)\right) \\ & - 4 \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in K' \\ (W,\lambda) > 0}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in L_0'/L \\ p(\beta) = \lambda}} \sum_{k > 0} c(q(\lambda)\,, \beta) \cdot \frac{1}{k} e\Big(k\big((X,\lambda) + i\,|(Y,\lambda)| + (\beta, e_2)\big)\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where e_v denotes the projection of e_1 to $v = \mathbb{R}X_L \oplus \mathbb{R}Y_L \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathrm{O}}$. In order to determine the pullback of Φ_L under α, we rewrite this expression in terms of z. We have

$$(X,\lambda) = \Re\frac{\langle z, \lambda \rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell \rangle}, \quad (Y,\lambda) = \Im\frac{\langle z, \lambda \rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell \rangle}, \quad e_v^2 = \frac{(X_L, e)^2}{X_L^2} = \frac{1}{Y^2} = \frac{2|\langle \ell, \ell' \rangle|^2}{\langle z, z \rangle},$$

also, recall that $e_2 = \frac{\zeta}{\delta} \langle \ell', \ell \rangle^{-1} \ell'$, as given in (10). Thus, the pullback of the first two lines from (25) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 8\pi \cdot \Im\frac{\langle z, \rho_f(W) \rangle}{2\langle \ell', \ell \rangle} + c(0,0) \cdot \left(-\log\frac{|\langle z, z \rangle|}{2|\langle \ell', \ell \rangle|^2} - \Gamma'(1) - \log(2\pi)\right) & \\ - 2 \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \\ \beta \neq 0}} c(0, \beta\ell/N_\ell) \cdot \log\left(1 - e\left(\frac{\beta}{N_\ell}\right)\right). & \end{aligned}$$

Note that $(\rho_f(W), Y) = (\rho_f(W), Y_L)$ as $\rho_f(W) \in K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $Y = p_K(Y_L)$. The last line of (25) can be rewritten as

$$2 \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in K' \\ \lambda \neq 0}} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in L_0'/L \\ p(\beta) = \lambda}} \sum_{k > 0} \frac{c(q(\lambda)\,, \beta)}{k} \cdot e\left(k\left(\Re\frac{\langle z, \lambda \rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell \rangle} + i\left|\Im\frac{\langle z, \lambda \rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell \rangle}\right| + 2\Re\frac{\bar{\zeta}\langle \beta, \ell' \rangle}{\bar{\delta}\langle \ell, \ell' \rangle}\right)\right).$$

As for each λ the expression $(Y, \lambda) = \Im\left(\langle z, \lambda\rangle\langle \ell', \ell\rangle^{-1}\right)$ is non-zero and has constant sign on W, we can restrict to λ for which it is positive. Also, recall that $c(n, \beta) = c(n, -\beta)$. We obtain

$$-4 \sum_{\substack{\lambda\in K' \\ (W,\lambda)>0}} \sum_{\substack{\beta\in L_0'/L \\ p(\beta)=\lambda}} c(q(\lambda)\,, \beta)\, \cdot \log\left|1 - e\left(\frac{\langle z, \lambda\rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell\rangle} + 2\Re\frac{\bar{\zeta}\langle \beta, \ell'\rangle}{\delta\langle \ell, \ell'\rangle}\right)\right|.$$

After gathering all contributions, the pullback of $\Phi_L(f)$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha^*\Phi_L(f))(z) &= 8\pi \cdot \Im\frac{\langle z, \rho_f(W)\rangle}{2\langle \ell', \ell\rangle} + c(0,0)\cdot\left(-\log\frac{|\langle z, z\rangle|}{2|\langle \ell', \ell\rangle|^2} - \Gamma'(1) - \log(2\pi)\right) \\ &\quad - 2\sum_{\substack{\beta\in\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \\ \beta\neq 0}} c(0, \beta\ell/N_\ell)\cdot\log\left(1 - e\left(\frac{\beta}{N_\ell}\right)\right) \\ &\quad - 4\sum_{\substack{\lambda\in K' \\ (\lambda,W)>0}} \sum_{\substack{\beta\in L_0'/L \\ p(\beta)=\lambda}} c(\langle\lambda,\lambda\rangle, \beta)\cdot\log\left|1 - e\left(\frac{\langle z, \lambda\rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell\rangle} + 2\Re\left[\bar{\xi}\frac{\langle \beta, \ell'\rangle}{\langle \ell, \ell'\rangle}\right]\right)\right|.\end{aligned}$$

Now, with (23) we can determine the logarithm of Ξ_f, as per definition $\Xi_f = \alpha^*\Psi_L$:

$$\log|\Xi_f(z)| = -\frac{(\alpha^*\Phi_L(f))(z)}{4} - \frac{c(0,0)}{4}\left(\log\frac{|\langle z, z\rangle|}{2|\langle \ell', \ell\rangle|^2} + \Gamma'(1) + \log(2\pi)\right).$$

This results in the claimed form of the product expansion.

The statement on convergence follows from a result of Bruinier, who in [7] (theorem 3.22 on p. 88f) gives a precise criterion for the normal convergence of the Borcherds product: The product converges normally on the complement of the set of poles if $q(Y_L) = q(Y) > |n_0|$, with $n_0 = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z};\ c(n, \gamma) \neq 0\}$. On $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$, with (9), we thus have normal convergence if $\langle z, z\rangle > |n_0||\langle \ell', \ell\rangle|^2$, as claimed. ☐

Example 8.1. *Let $L = H$ be a hyperbolic plane as in example 2.1, and let $\ell = 1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\ell' = -\delta^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{F}}^{-1}$. Then, the Siegel domain model $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ is just the usual upper half-plane $\mathbb{H}$. Since L is unimodular, the discriminant group is trivial, so $\Gamma_L^{\mathrm{U}} \simeq \mathrm{U}(L)$. Note that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathrm{SU}(L)$ is contained in Γ_L^{U}. Also, the Weil representation ρ_L restricts to the usual multiplier system of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{C}$.*

For every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m > 0$, there is a unique element J_m of $\mathcal{M}_0^!(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$, with a q-expansion of the form $J_m = q^{-m} + \mathbf{O}(q)$.

Now, assume m to be square-free. Then, the Weyl chambers attached to J_m are stripe-shaped regions of $\mathbb{H}$, defined by inequalities in $\Im\tau$ and the 'topmost' Weyl chamber is the half-plane $W = \{\tau \in \mathbb{H};\ 2\Im\tau > m|\delta|\}$. The Borcherds product expansion of $\Xi(\tau, J_m)$ attached to W is given by

$$\Xi\big(\tau, J_m\big) = e\big(-\sigma(m)\tau\big) \prod_{\substack{k,l\in\mathbb{Z} \\ (W,(k,l))>0}} \big(1 - e\left(k\tau - l\bar{\zeta}\right)\big)^{c(kl)},$$

where $\sigma(m) = \sum_{d|m} d$ *is the divisor sum of* m*. Here, the Weyl chamber condition* $(W,(k,l)) > 0$ *translates to* $2k\Im\tau + l|\delta| > 0$ *for every* τ *in* W*. The product is absolutely convergent for* $\Im\tau > 2m|\delta|^{-1}$*. For a more detailed treatment, see [12].*

9 Values on the boundary

In this section, we study the values taken by a Borcherds product Ξ_f on the boundary points of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$. As usual we only consider the cusp at infinity. Also, in the following, we assume that the width of the cusp, N_ℓ, is equal to 1.

Theorem 9.1. *Let* W *be a fixed Weyl chamber containing a neighborhood of infinity. Assume that* $\Xi_f(\tau,\sigma)$ *is regular at the cusp of* $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{U}}$ *corresponding to* ℓ*, then either* $\lim_{\tau\to i\infty}\Xi_f(\tau,\sigma)$ *vanishes or*

$$\lim_{\tau\to i\infty}\Xi_f(\tau,\sigma) = Ce\big(\overline{\rho_f(W)_\ell}\big)\prod_{\substack{\lambda=\kappa\zeta\ell\\ \kappa\in\mathbb{Q}_{>0}}}\big(1-e\big(\kappa\bar\zeta\big)\big)^{c(0,\lambda)},$$

where $\rho_f(W)_\ell$ *denotes the* ℓ*-component of the Weyl vector* $\rho_f(W)$*.*

Proof. Assume that $\lim_{\tau\to\infty}\Xi_f(\tau,\sigma)\neq 0$.

We denote the ℓ- and ℓ'-component of ρ_f by ρ_ℓ and $\rho_{\ell'}$, respectively, and the definite part by $\rho_D\in D\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\mathbb{F}}\mathbb{C}$. Since $\rho_f\in K\otimes_\mathbb{Z}\mathbb{Q}$, we have $\rho_\ell\ell=\rho_3e_3$, $\rho_{\ell'}\ell'=\rho_4e_4$, where ρ_3 and ρ_4 denote the e_3- and e_4-components. Similarly for a lattice vector $\lambda\in K'$, we write

$$\lambda = \lambda_\ell\ell + \lambda_{\ell'}\ell' + \lambda_D = \lambda_3e_3 + \lambda_4e_4 + \lambda_D.$$

By a result of Borcherds [3], Corollary 13.4, the Weyl vector ρ_f is semi-positive, i.e. its components satisfy

$$\rho_3\rho_4 + \rho_D^2 \geq 0 \quad\text{and}\quad \rho_3,\rho_4\geq 0.$$

Thus, with (10), we have

$$\langle z,\rho_f\rangle = \bar\rho_\ell\langle\ell',\ell\rangle - \tau\delta|\langle\ell',\ell\rangle|^2\bar\rho_{\ell'} + \langle\sigma,\rho_D\rangle = -\bar\zeta\rho_3\langle\ell',\ell\rangle + \tau\rho_4\langle\ell',\ell\rangle + \langle\sigma,\rho_D\rangle.$$

By the non-vanishing assumption for the limit, it follows that $\rho_4=\rho_{\ell'}=0$.

Now, λ_4 is non-negative. To see this, consider the Weyl chamber condition $(W,\lambda)>0$ for z with $\tau=it$, $t\gg 0$ and σ of fixed norm. We have:

$$\langle z,\lambda\rangle = -\bar\zeta\lambda_3\langle\ell',\ell\rangle + it\lambda_4\langle\ell',\ell\rangle + \langle\sigma,\lambda_D\rangle.$$

Recall the definition of the Weyl chamber condition in (19), clearly, if t is large, $(W,\lambda)>0$ is satisfied only if $\lambda_4\geq 0$. Since the corresponding factor in the product is trivial for $\lambda_4>0$, we can restrict to λ with $\lambda_4=\lambda_{\ell'}=0$.

Thus, in a suitable neighborhood of infinity, Ξ_f can be written in the form

$$\Xi_f(\tau,\sigma) = Ce\left(\bar\rho_\ell + \frac{\langle\sigma,\rho_D\rangle}{\langle\ell',\ell\rangle}\right)\prod_{\substack{\lambda\in K'\\ \lambda_{\ell'}=0\\ (W,\lambda)>0}}\left(1-e\left(\bar\lambda_\ell + \frac{\langle\sigma,\lambda_D\rangle}{\langle\ell',\ell\rangle}\right)\right)^{c(\langle\lambda_D,\lambda_D\rangle,\lambda)}. \qquad (26)$$

As an automorphic form, $\Xi_f(\tau,\sigma)$ has a Fourier-Jacobi expansion of the form

$$\Xi_f(\tau,\sigma) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n(\sigma) e\big(\frac{n}{N}\tau\big). \tag{27}$$

Note that by the non-vanishing assumption, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and by regularity, $n \geq 0$. We have

$$a_0 = \lim_{\tau\to i\infty} \Xi_f(\tau,\sigma). \tag{28}$$

Beside the Fourier-Jacobi expansion (27), the Borcherds product can also be rewritten as a series by expanding each factor as a binomial series and taking the resulting product. Thus, with the binomial series expansion, the right hand side of (26) becomes

$$Ce\left(\bar{\rho}_\ell + \frac{\langle \sigma, \rho_D\rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\right) \cdot \prod_{\substack{\lambda\in K' \\ \lambda_{\ell'}=0 \\ (W,\lambda)>0}} \sum_{n\geq 0} (-1)^n \binom{c(\langle \lambda_D, \lambda_D\rangle, \lambda)}{n} e\left(\bar{\lambda}_\ell + \frac{\langle \sigma, \rho_D\rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\right)^n.$$

By multiplying all remaining factors, we obtain

$$e\left(\bar{\rho}_\ell + \frac{\langle \sigma, \rho_D\rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\right) \cdot \left[1 + \sum_{k>0} \sum_{\substack{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k\in K' \\ (W,\lambda_k)>0 \\ \lambda_{k,\ell'}=0}} \sum_{\substack{(n_i)\in\mathbb{Z}^k \\ n_i\geq 0}} b\big(\sum_{i=1}^k n_i\lambda_i\big) e\left(\sum_{i=1}^k n_i \frac{\langle z, \lambda_i\rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\right)\right],$$

with coefficients $b(\tilde{\lambda})$ indexed by lattice vectors $\tilde{\lambda} := \sum_{i=1}^k n_i\lambda_i$. By $\mathbb{Z}$-linearity, $\tilde{\lambda} \in K'$ with $\tilde{\lambda}_{\ell'} = 0$. Further, since the λ_i satisfy the Weyl chamber condition $(\lambda, W) > 0$ and the n_i are non-negative, each $\tilde{\lambda}$ also satisfies $(\tilde{\lambda}, W) > 0$. Comparing coefficients with (28) gives

$$a_0 = C\left[e\left(\bar{\rho}_\ell + \frac{\langle \sigma, \rho_D\rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\right) + e\big(\bar{\rho}_\ell\big) \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\lambda}\in K' \\ (W,\tilde{\lambda})>0}} b\big(\tilde{\lambda}\big) e\left(\overline{\tilde{\lambda}_\ell} + \frac{\langle \sigma, \lambda_D + \rho_D\rangle}{\langle \ell', \ell\rangle}\right)\right].$$

As the left hand side is constant, it follows that $\rho_D = 0$ and further that $\tilde{\lambda}_D = 0$ for all $\tilde{\lambda}$. Whence $\lambda_D = 0$ for all those λ, which contribute non-trivial factors to the Borcherds product (26). Thus, re-inserting into the right-hand side of (26) we get

$$\lim_{\tau\to i\infty} \Xi_f(\tau,\sigma) = Ce(\bar{\rho}_\ell) \prod_{\substack{\lambda=\lambda_\ell\ell\in K' \\ (W,\lambda)>0}} \big(1 - e(\bar{\lambda}_\ell)\big)^{c(0,\lambda)}.$$

Since $\lambda = \lambda_\ell \ell$ is contained in K', it follows that $\lambda = \kappa e_3 = -\zeta\kappa\ell$, with $\kappa \in \mathbb{Q}$. By the Weyl chamber condition, $0 < \Im(-\bar{\zeta}\kappa) = \frac{1}{2}|\delta|\kappa$. Thus, $\kappa > 0$, as claimed. $\square$

Remark. *A different approach for proving theorem 9.1 is to consider the Borcherds lift* $\Psi_L(Z,f)$ *on a fixed one-dimensional boundary component. Evaluating this at the image* $\alpha(\infty)$ *of the point at infinity, see* (15)*, we can, due to lemma 4.2, obtain the value of* $\lim_{\tau\to i\infty} \Xi_f(\tau,\sigma)$. *This approach is used in the author's thesis [12].*

10 Modularity of Heegner divisors

In this section, we give an analogue of Borcherds' result on the modularity of Heegner divisors in the case of modular surfaces for orthogonal groups from [4]. A result similar to our theorem 10.1 below, has been obtained independently by Liu in [14], using rather different methods.

Let $\mathbb{C}[L'/L][q^{-1}]$ be the space of Fourier polynomials (including constant terms) and $\mathbb{C}[L'/L][[q]]$ the space of formal power series. The residue-pairing is a non-degenerate bilinear pairing between these two spaces, which can be defined by putting

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_{\substack{n \leq 0 \\ \beta \in L'/L}} c(n, \beta) b(-n, \beta),$$

for $f = \sum_{\beta, n \leq 0} c(n, \beta) q^n \in \mathbb{C}[L'/L][q^{-1}]$ and $g = \sum_{\beta, m \geq 0} b(m, \beta) q^m \in \mathbb{C}[L'/L][[q]]$. The space $\mathcal{M}^!_{1-m,\rho_L}$ can be identified with a subspace of $\mathbb{C}[L'/L][q^{-1}]$ by mapping a weakly holomorphic modular form to the non-positive part of its Fourier expansion. Likewise, the space $\mathcal{M}_{1+m,\rho_L^*}$ can be identified with a subspace of $\mathbb{C}[L'/L][[q]]$ by mapping a holomorphic modular form to its Fourier expansion.

Using Serre duality for vector-bundles on Riemann surfaces, in [4], Borcherds showed that the space $\mathcal{M}^!_{1-m,\rho_L}$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{M}_{1+m,\rho_L^*}$ with respect to the residue-paring $\{\,,\,\}$. Since the pairing is non-degenerate and $\mathcal{M}_{1+m,\rho_L^*}$ has finite dimension, $\mathcal{M}_{1+m,\rho_L^*}$ is also the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{M}_{1+m,\rho_L^*}$. In particular, the following holds.

Lemma 10.1. *A formal power series* $\sum_\beta \sum_{n>0} b(n, \beta) q^n \mathfrak{e}_\beta \in \mathbb{C}[L'/L] \otimes \mathbb{C}[[q]]$ *is the Fourier expansion of a modular form* $g \in \mathcal{M}_{1+m,\rho_L^*}$ *if and only if*

$$\sum_{\beta \in L'/L} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}+q(\beta) \\ n \leq 0}} c(n, \beta) b(-n, \beta) = 0$$

for every $f = \sum_{n,\beta} c(n, \beta) q^n \mathfrak{e}_\beta \in \mathcal{M}^!_{1-m,\rho_L}$.

By a result of McGraw, [15], the spaces $\mathcal{M}^!_{1-m,\rho_L}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{1+m,\rho_L^*}$ have bases of modular forms with integer coefficients. Thus, a statement analogous to lemma 10.1 holds for power series and modular forms over $\mathbb{Q}$. Moreover, it suffices to check the vanishing condition for every f with integral Fourier coefficients.

Consider $\mathrm{CH}^1(X_\Gamma)$, the first Chow group of X_Γ. Recall that $\mathrm{CH}^1(X_\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to the Picard group $\mathrm{Pic}(X_\Gamma)$.

Let $\pi : \tilde{X_\Gamma} \to X_\Gamma$ be a desingularisation and denote by $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\tilde{X_\Gamma})$ the group of boundary divisors of $\tilde{X_\Gamma}$. We now consider a modified Chow group, the quotient $\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B}$. Put $(\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B})_\mathbb{Q} = (\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B}) \otimes_\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$

Denote by $\mathcal{L}_k$ the sheaf of meromorphic automorphic forms on X_Γ. By the theory of Baily-Borel, there is a positive integer $n(\Gamma)$, such that if k is a positive integer divisible by $n(\Gamma)$, the sheaf $\mathcal{L}_k$ is an algebraic line bundle and thus defines an element in $\mathrm{Pic}(X_\Gamma)$.

The pullback of $\mathcal{L}_k$ to $\tilde{X_\Gamma}$ defines a class in $\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B}$, which we denote $c_1(\mathcal{L}_k)$. More generally, if k is rational, we choose an integer n such that nk is a positive integer divisible by $n(\Gamma)$ and put $c_1(\mathcal{L}_k) = \frac{1}{n} c_1(\mathcal{L}_{nk}) \in (\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

As the Heegner divisors are $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier on X_Γ, their pullbacks define elements in the modified Chow group $(\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B})_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Theorem 10.1. *The generating series in* $\mathbb{Q}[L'/L][[q]] \otimes (\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B})_{\mathbb{Q}}$*,*

$$A(\tau) = c_1(\mathcal{L}_{-1/2}) + \sum_{\beta \in L'/L} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}+q(\beta) \\ n>0}} \pi^*\big(\mathbf{H}(-n,\beta)\big)\, q^n \mathfrak{e}_\beta$$

is a modular form in $\mathcal{M}_{1+m,\rho_L^*}$ *with values in* $(\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B})_{\mathbb{Q}}$*, i.e.* $A(\tau)$ *is contained in* $\mathcal{M}_{1+m,\rho_L^*} \otimes (\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B})_{\mathbb{Q}}$*.*

Proof. This follows from theorem 8.1 and lemma 10.1. Indeed, by lemma 10.1 it suffices to show that

$$c(0,0)c_1(\mathcal{L}_{-1/2}) + \sum_{\beta \in L'/L} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z}+q(\beta) \\ n<0}} c(n,\beta)\, \pi^*\mathbf{H}(n,\beta) = 0 \in (\mathrm{CH}^1(\tilde{X_\Gamma})/\mathcal{B})_{\mathbb{Q}},$$

for every $f = \sum_{n,\beta} c(n,\beta) q^n \mathfrak{e}_\beta$ in $\mathcal{M}^!_{1-m,\rho_L}$ with integral Fourier coefficients. But this follows immediately from theorem 8.1, as the Borcherds lift Ξ_f of f is an automorphic form with divisor $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n,\beta} c(n,\beta)\mathbf{H}(n,\beta)$ of weight $c(0,0)/2$, i.e. up to torsion a rational section of $\mathcal{L}_{c(0,0)/2}$. ☐

Acknowledegements: Much of the present paper is a short version of the author's thesis [12] completed at the TU Darmstadt. I am indebted to my thesis adviser, J. Bruinier for his insight and many helpful suggestions.

References

[1] W. L. Baily, Jr. and A. Borel. Compactification of arithmetic quotients of bounded symmetric domains. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 84:442–528, 1966.

[2] Richard E. Borcherds. Automorphic forms on $\mathrm{O}_{s+2,2}(\mathbf{R})$ and infinite products. *Invent. Math.*, 120(1):161–213, 1995.

[3] Richard E. Borcherds. Automorphic forms with singularities on Grassmannians. *Invent. Math.*, 132(3):491–562, 1998.

[4] Richard E. Borcherds. The Gross-Kohnen-Zagier theorem in higher dimensions. *Duke Math. J.*, 97(2):219–233, 1999.

[5] Richard E. Borcherds. Correction to: "The Gross-Kohnen-Zagier theorem in higher dimensions" [Duke Math. J. **97** (1999), no. 2, 219–233; MR1682249 (2000f:11052)]. *Duke Math. J.*, 105(1):183–184, 2000.

[6] Armand Borel. Compact Clifford-Klein forms of symmetric spaces. *Topology*, 2:111–122, 1963.

[7] Jan H. Bruinier. *Borcherds products on O(2, l) and Chern classes of Heegner divisors*, volume 1780 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[8] Jan H. Bruinier and Eberhard Freitag. Local Borcherds products. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 51(1):1–26, 2001.

[9] J. W. Cogdell. Arithmetic cycles on Picard modular surfaces and modular forms of Nebentypus. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 357:115–137, 1985.

[10] E. Freitag. Some modular forms related to cubic surfaces. *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 43(3):433–462, 2003.

[11] John C. Hemperly. The parabolic contribution to the number of linearly independent automorphic forms on a certain bounded domain. *Amer. J. Math.*, 94:1078–1100, 1972.

[12] Eric Hofmann. *Automorphic Products on Unitary Groups.* PhD thesis, TU Darmstadt, 2011. `http://tuprints.ulb.tu-darmstadt.de/2540/`.

[13] Rolf-Peter Holzapfel. A class of minimal surfaces in the unknown region of surface geography. *Math. Nachr.*, 98:211–232, 1980.

[14] Yifeng Liu. Arithmetic theta lifting and L-derivatives for unitary groups. I. *Algebra Number Theory*, 5(7):849–921, 2011.

[15] William J. McGraw. The rationality of vector valued modular forms associated with the weil representation. *Mathematische Annalen*, 326:105–122, 2003.